1	
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF LINCOLN COUNTY
2	FOR THE 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	
4	
5	DOROTHY SMALL, TONYA ALLEN,) and ROGER MARTINEZ,)
6)
7	Plaintiffs,) vs.) NO. 23-CV-132) JURY DEMAND
8	JON LAW and) TINA TOWRY OSGOOD,)
9	Defendants.
10	Derendantes.)
11	
12	MOTION HEARING
13	VOLUME 1 of 1 - DECEMBER 13, 2023
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ANGELA BUTLER Post Office Box 186
23	Fayetteville, TN 37334 931-675-1190
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiffs:
3	STEPHEN W. ELLIOTT, ESQ.
4	ATTORNEY AT LAW HOWELL & FISHER, PLLC 3310 WEST END AVENUE, SUITE 550
5	NASHVILLE, TN 37203
6	
7	For the Defendants:
8	DANIEL A. HORWITZ, ESQ. LINDSAY SMITH, ESQ.
9	MELISSA K. DIX, ESQ. ATTORNEYS AT LAW
10	HORWITZ LAW, PLLC 4016 WESTLAWN DRIVE
11	NASHVILLE, TN 37209
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	FAYETTEVILLE, TENNESSEE DECEMBER 13, 2023
2	
3	THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR LINCOLN COUNTY
4	HON. M. WYATT BURK, PRESIDING
5	000
6	
7	(The motion hearing in Small,
8	Allen & Martinez versus Law & Osgood
9	was convened at approximately 9:31
10	a.m.)
11	
12	THE COURT: All right. This is
13	Dorothy Small, Tonya Allen, and Roger
14	Martinez versus Jon Law and Tina
15	Towry Osgood. This is on for a
16	petition to dismiss filed by the
17	Defendants in this matter by
18	Mr. Horwitz.
19	I will hear you.
20	MR. HORWITZ: Good morning, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Good morning.
23	MR. HORWITZ: Daniel Horwitz of
24	the Nashville bar on behalf of the
25	Defendants. I'm here with co-counsel

l

1 Melissa Dix and Lindsey Smith. Also 2 have our clients sitting in the room. 3 THE COURT: Good to see you guys. 4 MR. HORWITZ: Your Honor, this is 5 a Tennessee Public Participation Act petition to dismiss the Plaintiffs' 6 7 claim. There is a single invasion of 8 privacy claim alleged here. I want to walk through the 9 10 Tennessee Public Participation Act, 11 because as Your Honor knows, it's a 12 little bit unusual. It's not your 13 typical motion to dismiss, it's not your typical motion for summary 14 15 judgment. It is a dispositive 16 petition and we are seeking dismissal 17 of the Plaintiffs' claims with 18 prejudice here. 19 Under the Tennessee Public 20 Participation Act, there is a 21 three-step inquiry. So today I am 2.2 going to ask Your Honor to make at 23 least two rulings, up to three, but 24 the first thing that I need to prove 25 is that this is a lawsuit, it's a

1	claim that was filed in relation to
2	or in response to the exercise of the
3	right of free speech as defined by
4	statute or the right to petition. We
5	have asserted that. We have
6	supported that claim with evidence.
7	This is involving citizen
8	advocates in a public petitioning
9	campaign regarding local government,
10	regarding tax policy, regarding
11	maintenance of public parks. So we
12	have asserted with abundant and
13	uncontested evidence that this is a
14	tort claim regarding which the
15	T.P.P.A. applies. And from my
16	reading of opposing counsel's
17	response, that is not contested.
18	So that is step one of this
19	inquiry. We have to demonstrate a
20	prima facie case that this is in
21	relation to and in response to the
22	exercise of the right of free speech
23	or the right to petition.
24	We believe we have done so. We
25	believe that there is no contest of

1	that fact. So that's the first
2	ruling that I am going to ask this
3	Court to make, that we have met our
4	initial burden under the Tennessee
5	Public Participation Act.
6	Step two. This is where the
7	burden shifts to the Plaintiffs. So
8	once we have done what we needed to
9	in step one, they have to come
10	forward with admissible evidence and
11	demonstrate a prima facie case for
12	each essential element of their
13	claims here. And there are two
14	elements involved. So the first
15	involves whether the matter disclosed
16	is highly offensive to a reasonable
17	person. And the second is whether
18	the matter disclosed is not of
19	legitimate concern to the public.
20	It's our position they cannot
21	meet either element. We only have to
22	win on one of those in order to
23	prevail here today, but I do want to
24	walk through this. So here is
25	essentially the facts of this case.

l

1	Citizen advocates concerned about
2	local policy expressed their concerns
3	about local government, about
4	failures in local government on
5	Facebook. As a part of that
6	advocacy, as part of an effort to
7	promote a petitioning campaign to
8	elected officials, they published the
9	cell phone numbers of the elected
10	officials for the purpose of having
11	other citizens contact them to
12	redress the grievances that they have
13	about local policy.
14	They have now been sued for a
15	whopping \$750,000 in asserted
16	compensatory damages for simply
17	publishing the cell phone numbers of
18	their elected officials. And the
19	claim that the Plaintiffs are
20	attempting to make here, which they
21	have not substantiated with any
22	evidence, but purely the legal
23	argument that lacks any citation, is
24	that publishing a cell phone number
25	of an elected official is highly

1	offensive to a reasonable person.
2	Your Honor, that cannot possibly
3	be true. It doesn't make any sense.
4	The whole point of having a cell
5	phone is that people can contact you
6	on it.
7	And so to address that argument,
8	they say rather than the objective
9	inquiry, right, the reasonable person
10	inquiry that the law requires, this
11	should simply be a subjective
12	standard. It should simply be up to
13	the person who has the cell phone,
14	whether or not publishing that number
15	is highly offensive.
16	Now that is not law, but even if
17	it were, in this absolutely
18	extraordinary case, two of the three
19	Plaintiffs published their own cell
20	phone numbers on their nominating
21	petition, on the candidate nominating
22	petitions that are on file with the
23	election commission. One of them
24	initially published his own cell
25	phone number online while trying to

1 sell a Honda CRV. It is clear beyond 2 any conceivable dispute that these 3 Plaintiffs did not consider the 4 publication of their cell phone 5 numbers to be highly offensive even 6 themselves subjectively. We think 7 that's the end of this case. Thev 8 lose right there. 9 But turning to step two, it's 10 arguably even easier, you know, 11 whether the matter disclosed is not 12 of legitimate concern to the public, 13 and of course it is when we are 14 talking about elected officials. 15 There are a host of ways that 16 disclosing an elected official's cell 17 phone number would be a matter of 18 public concern. The first is it 19 simply allows constituents to 20 meaningfully exercise the right to 21 petition, which was the actual 2.2 purpose for which their cell phone 23 numbers were published. It's to 24 allow citizens to contact these 25 elected officials regarding matters

1 of public concern, local government 2 tax policy, and maintenance of public 3 parks, and redress the grievances that my clients had. So that's the 4 5 end of the matter, but that's, of 6 course, not the only reason why 7 having an elected official's cell 8 phone number would be a matter of 9 public concern. 10 The second one is that an elected 11 official's cell phone number is 12 necessary to promote transparency and 13 public records access, particularly 14 when they are using their cell phones 15 to conduct public business. And the 16 uncontested evidence in this record 17 from the Mayor is that they were 18 using their cell --19 THE COURT: The city mayor, 20 right? 21 MR. HORWITZ: Yes, from the city 2.2 mayor. 23 -- is that they were using their 24 cell phones to conduct official 25 business. So that's yet another

1 reason why this is, in fact, a matter of pubic concern. 2 3 Additionally, an elected 4 official's cell phone number, like 5 any other contact information, can 6 provide abundant, useful information 7 about their residency and their 8 connections to the community that 9 they serve. 10 Now we have noted here that one 11 of the Plaintiffs has an Alabama area 12 code, despite serving a Tennessee 13 community. He has got a response to 14 that. That's perfectly fine. Ιt 15 doesn't take it outside the ambit of 16 a matter of public concern though. 17 So simply having that contact 18 information matters. 19 Fourth, Tennessee statutory law 20 under the Tennessee Public 21 Participation Act defines issues of 2.2 public concern. Right? And among 23 the defined issues are the 24 government. 25 THE COURT: Counsel, wait just a

1 If you will shut that door, second. 2 Officer. 3 I'm sorry. You may proceed. 4 MR. HORWITZ: Tennessee statutory 5 law defines any issue related to the 6 government, a public official, or a 7 public figure as a matter of public 8 concern, as a matter of statutory 9 law. In order to harmonize this tort 10 claim and invasion of privacy claim 11 with this statutory definition, we 12 think that should be construed the 13 same way. 14 So for those reasons, we don't 15 believe that they have met --16 established a prima facie case in 17 element number one, we don't believe 18 that they have established a prima 19 facie case in element number two. 20 Also, just know perhaps more simply, 21 they didn't file any evidence in 2.2 response to our petition. They filed 23 an eight page response that was just 24 full of argument, no citation to 25 statutes, no citation to case law,

		тJ
1	and no evidence appended to it.	
2	Under Nandigam Neurology versus,	
3	Beavers, which happens to be our	
4	case, that is supposed to be a	
5	mandatory loss. Right? Because you	
6	have to file your evidence five days	
7	before hearing, and if you don't do	
8	it and you don't meet your	
9	evidentiary burden on the statute,	
10	you lose.	
11	Now we are not necessarily asking	
12	this Court to rule on that ground.	
13	We think it's even if the evidence	
14	is considered, even if the claims are	
15	taken as true, they still simply	
16	cannot meet either element of their	
17	tort claim here.	
18	To recap that, the ruling in step	
19	one that we want is that we met our	
20	own prima facie burden of	
21	demonstrating that the T.P.P.A.	
22	applies.	
23	The second ruling we want this	
24	Court to make is that they did not	
25	meet their burden of proving each	

1 essential element of their claims, 2 and that should be it. But I will 3 note, the T.P.P.A. has a third step 4 as well. So even if they win at step 5 two, and they don't, but even if they 6 did, the third inquiry is whether or 7 not the Defendants have established valid defenses here. 8 9 And we have. We have 10 demonstrated, admittedly not through 11 a binding Tennessee case, but with 12 abundant, persuasive authority from 13 across the United States that publishing contact information is 14 15 protected speech within the First 16 Amendment jurisprudence. There are a 17 host of cases that have dealt with 18 similar, not quite identical, but 19 similar issues about posting contact 20 information, some involving posting 21 much more private contact information 2.2 than we have here, Social Security 23 numbers, for instance. And in every 24 single one of those cases, uniformly, 25 courts have said the First Amendment

1	protects this publication, especially
2	when we are dealing with public
3	officials or matters of public
4	concern or matters of advocacy.
5	Your Honor, I just want to bring
6	this back. We are talking about core
7	political speech here. We are
8	talking about citizens who
9	THE COURT: It was tax policy,
10	right?
11	MR. HORWITZ: Tax policy
12	THE COURT: Tax policy and the
13	cleanliness of the parks?
14	MR. HORWITZ: Of a public park,
15	right.
16	Quintessential First Amendment
17	advocacy. Quintessential exercise of
18	the right to petition here. This is
19	about the highest protection that the
20	First Amendment affords to speech.
21	And under those circumstances, at
22	minimum, it is the Plaintiffs' burden
23	to demonstrate how and why this
24	speech can be restricted
25	constitutionally, and they simply

1 have not done so. We have marshaled 2 a great deal of First Amendment 3 authority holding that this is 4 protected speech. They have mustered 5 nothing saying that it is not. So we 6 would win at step three as well. We 7 have demonstrated a valid defense here that the First Amendment 8 9 protects my clients' speech so we 10 should win there as well. I will note a couple of other 11 12 arguments. There's a separate anti-SLAPP statute in play, the 13 14 Tennessee Anti-SLAPP Act of 1997. Ιt 15 provides statutory immunity under 16 these circumstances for truthful 17 speech. All of the speech here is 18 uncontestedly truthful. And 19 secondly, as to Ms. Sanders, who is 20 named Ms. Osgood in this complaint, 21 she recopied the exact same thing 2.2 that her codefendant --23 THE COURT: Hers was a 24 republication of the same post of 25 Mr. Law.

1	MR. HORWITZ: Just a
2	republication in the same forum.
3	So by the time she published it,
4	it was already out there. It wasn't
5	private at all.
6	For all of these reasons, Your
7	Honor, we think there are multiple
8	bases for granting this petition. We
9	think the petition should be granted.
10	That will come with not only a
11	dismissal but dismissal with
12	prejudice. It will trigger a
13	mandatory fee award, which we would
14	like to bring to this Court's
15	attention at a later date.
16	Also note that there is a
17	sanctions provision under this
18	statute. And I will just tell this
19	Court there is no Tennessee Court of
20	Appeals authority, Tennessee Supreme
21	Court authority on how to determine
22	sanctions. It's a relatively new
23	area of law. We would like to brief
24	that. We got a decision last week
25	out of Davidson County that we think

1 applies the correct analysis, and we would like to bring that to the 2 3 Court's attention to brief the 4 sanctions portion of this at a later 5 date when we file our fee petition, 6 but for today, what I would like the 7 Court to do is rule that we have 8 established our burden at the first 9 step of the T.P.P.A., that they have 10 not established a prima facie case 11 for each essential element of their 12 tort claims in response, and if this 13 Court deems it necessary, that we 14 have established valid defenses to 15 liability here. 16 For those reasons, we would ask 17 the petition be granted and that the 18 Plaintiffs' complaint be dismissed 19 with prejudice. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 Appreciate it. 2.2 All right, Mr. Elliott. Good 23 morning. 24 MR. ELLIOTT: Yes. Thank you, 25 Your Honor. How are you doing?

1	THE COURT: Doing well. Good to
2	see you.
3	MR. ELLIOTT: Good to see you,
4	Your Honor.
5	Steve Elliott, Nashville bar,
6	here on behalf of the Plaintiffs in
7	this matter.
8	Your Honor, the Defendants'
9	petition, Motion to Dismiss, should
10	be denied flat out.
11	Your Honor, this is not a
12	strategic lawsuit as the Defendants
13	claim that it is. The Plaintiffs
14	simply want the Defendants to stop
15	violating and invading their privacy,
16	not the other way around. This
17	lawsuit was not an attempt by the
18	Plaintiffs to silence the Defendants'
19	opposition to anything. To the
20	contrary, the Plaintiffs welcome such
21	debate in whatever form that can be
22	done in, but there are less invasive
23	and less harassing methods in which
24	to do it.
25	For the Defendants to contact the

1	City aldermen in other methods,
2	including for all to see on the
3	City's website where phone numbers
4	and e-mail addresses are posted for
5	each and every alderman to be
6	contacted about City business, that's
7	the way these Plaintiffs wanted to be
8	contacted, the way they published it
9	on the City website, through e-mails
10	and phone numbers.
11	Your Honor, it needs to be
12	established on the front end that
13	Ms. Dorothy Small, there is no
14	allegation by Defendants in this case
15	that she has published her own cell
16	phone number at any time.
17	THE COURT: It was two of the
18	three.
19	MR. ELLIOTT: It was two of the
20	three. And I will get into whether
21	or not that was public by the other
22	two later but there is no allegation
23	in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss as
24	to her, so anything as to her should
25	automatically be denied. She didn't

1	publish her cell phone number at all.	
2	Your Honor, again, these cell	
3	phone numbers are not of legitimate	
4	concerns to the public. There is no	
5	case law cited by the Defendants in	
6	support of that position. They have	
7	a really pretty brief that has Law	
8	Review articles cited and district	
9	court cases from Washington and	
10	Florida and other places cited, but	
11	there is not a single case from this	
12	state cited in support of Defendants'	
13	position today.	
14	THE COURT: I don't think one	
15	exists, does it?	
16	MR. ELLIOTT: Not one exists as	
17	far as I'm aware. So, I mean, this	
18	is totally new ground, plowing new	
19	earth here, as far as we can tell,	
20	Your Honor.	
21	And regarding a legitimate	
22	concern, there's no justifiable	
23	reason for posting their cell phone	
24	numbers. Why in the world didn't	
25	Mr. Law simply post, hey, you all	

need to get in touch with your city aldermen, your board of aldermen members, and here's the court -here's the City's website, a link to this, in order to get in contact with them? Why didn't Mr. Law simply copy and paste those numbers and those e-mail addresses listed on the City's website?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 He did it for one reason only. 11 He did it to harass and attempt to 12 intimidate these Board of Aldermen by 13 posting their personal cell phones, 14 which were, we would submit, the only 15 way to surmise how he obtained their 16 cell phone numbers is through the 17 Mayor. That's the only way, Your 18 Honor.

Your Honor, in their petition to dismiss, the Defendants, they say it's strangely ridiculous. And then they also refer to the Plaintiffs' petition as curious. That's it. That it's a curious complaint. That it's strange. That it's unusual.

		20
1	That's not enough just to dismiss it,	
2	especially not on what's essentially	
3	a Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss, Your	
4	Honor.	
5	Again, Your Honor, I would just	
6	submit, as you look at the	
7	Defendants' brief, it is replete with	
8	editorial commentary, with the way	
9	they think things should be, with the	
10	way they think the law should be,	
11	without any authority whatsoever. I	
12	would state and refer this Court to	
13	page 14 of their memorandum where	
14	Defendants state, quote: "As a	
15	matter of law, publishing someone	
16	else's cell phone is not highly	
17	offensive to any reasonable person,"	
18	end quote. That's it. No citation,	
19	no foundation, no basis, no nothing.	
20	It's just what they say.	
21	That's not good enough, Your	
22	Honor. Just because they want you to	
23	believe something is true doesn't	
24	mean that it is, especially not on a	
25	Rule 12 standard.	

1	Your Honor, regarding these
2	nominating petitions of Ms. Allen and
3	Mr. Martinez, I don't know where they
4	obtained those. They say they got
5	them from the election commission. I
6	can't find them online. I tried. I
7	don't know if they were even
8	published or public to begin with.
9	If they are on file with the election
10	commission, Plaintiffs would submit
11	that that's not really public. They
12	are on file with the election
13	commission. They haven't publicized
14	those numbers.
15	Mr. Martinez may have publicized
16	a number to sell a CRV but he
17	certainly didn't publicize his cell
18	phone number to contact me about tax
19	policy or cleaning up a city park.
20	He wanted to be contacted the way the
21	City's website said he should be
22	contacted, which is the phone number
23	and the e-mail address listed on the
24	City's website, not through his
25	personal business.

1	And to call him out for having a
2	256 area code, Your Honor, I am from
3	Nashville and even I know how close
4	Huntsville is to here. I have been
5	here on matters before this Court and
6	gone down to Huntsville to eat after
7	I was done.
8	THE COURT: I am not worried
9	about that. I think it's
10	MR. ELLIOTT: Thank you, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: just a side
13	argument.
14	MR. ELLIOTT: Again, page 16 of
15	their memorandum of law, they state
16	that Plaintiffs' claims are
17	transparently ridiculous. Your
18	Honor, that's the same as saying they
19	are curious. That's just their take.
20	That's just their opinion. Everybody
21	has got an opinion. That's not good
22	enough for a Motion to Dismiss.
23	Your Honor, let me just remind
24	the Court again of what's essentially
25	I mean, this is a Rule 12 motion.

1 There's a really high bar here. You 2 essentially have to take everything that the Plaintiffs' state is true. 3 4 You test only the legal sufficiency 5 of the complaint and not the strength 6 of the proof at this stage. That's 7 the Highlands versus Memphis case. 8 Your Honor, the Dobbs versus 9 Guenther case says the motion cannot 10 be sustained unless there appears 11 there are no facts warranting relief. 12 Taking the complaint as true, we 13 have established facts for relief. 14 Actually, if you think about it, 15 the Defendants have admitted that we 16 have facts substantiating relief in 17 this case. They have admitted, they 18 have flaunted, they have thrown it 19 out there for all to see that they 20 have let everybody know and they do 21 not deny that they published these 2.2 cell phone numbers. So they admit, 23 in essence, that we have established, 24 at least factually for a Motion to

Dismiss, that we have made a case,

1 that we've made one. Your Honor, we would just submit, 2 based on what we know now, based on 3 the standards for a Motion to 4 5 Dismiss, that this petition should be denied. 6 7 Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Horwitz, you get 9 the final say. 10 MR. HORWITZ: Thank you, Your 11 Honor. 12 I guess I need to clarify a 13 misapprehension at the outset, this is not a Rule 12 motion. It's not 14 15 even something resembling a Rule 12 16 This is a Tennessee Public motion. 17 Participation Act petition that 18 requires -- it's an evidentiary 19 motion that requires evidence, which 20 they have not submitted. Not a cent 21 of damages to support the \$750,000 2.2 claimed emotional distress they had 23 from having their cell phones 24 published. 25 Let me go back to the beginning,

1 though. I heard opposing counsel say 2 that his clients, quote, "Welcome 3 such debate." That's the end of this 4 case, Your Honor, because if they 5 welcome debate on the issues of 6 public concern that are presented 7 here regarding which their 8 constituents were interested, then 9 being able to contact those officials 10 is definitionally of concern to the 11 public. It's an element of their 12 claim. They can't meet it. They 13 have admitted quite the opposite. 14 I heard opposing counsel say that 15 there were less invasive methods and 16 they preferred to be contacted 17 through the City website. 18 Your Honor, Mr. Law submitted a 19 declaration here, uncontested, saying 20 -- this is paragraph 16 of his 21 declaration. "Efforts to communicate 2.2 with the aldermen by phone, through 23 the municipal office, or through 24 their City e-mail addresses were met 25 with little to no responses from the

aldermen."

1

2 Sure they wanted to be contacted 3 through official channels. Easier to 4 ignore the constituents who were 5 trying to petition them that way. 6 They just didn't respond. 7 As to the freedom to decide how 8 they are contacted, we have cited 9 this a couple of times, the Ostergren 10 versus Cuccinelli case out of the Fourth Circuit. I'll just quote the 11 12 holding from footnote eight. "The 13 First Amendment protects the 14 Defendant's freedom to decide how 15 their message should be 16 communicated." The government 17 doesn't get to tell you how and when 18 to contact them. It is not up to the 19 Plaintiffs to say they only want to 20 be contacted in this way. Their 21 constituents get to decide how to 2.2 petition them, not the other way 23 around. 24 I also want to note, Your Honor, 25 these are public officials and the

1	standards are different. So this is
2	from the United States Supreme Court.
3	In Gertz, they talk about and New
4	York Times versus Sullivan, they talk
5	about how the fact how public
6	officials are expected to tolerate
7	both closer public scrutiny and
8	vehement, caustic, and sometimes
9	unpleasantly sharp attacks.
10	These Plaintiffs simply are not
11	equipped to be elected officials
12	here, Your Honor, if they are
13	suffering intense emotional distress
14	by having their cell phone numbers
15	publicized for the purposes of
16	petitioning them. They are held to a
17	higher standard. The reasonableness
18	inquiry applies here. There is no
19	conceivable way that they have met
20	their burden of proof. And, in fact,
21	they haven't even tried to because
22	they think it's a Rule 12 motion when
23	it's not. It's an evidentiary
24	motion.
25	As to our reference to this case

1 as strange and unusual, opposing 2 counsel is right, these are 3 euphemisms. What I should have said 4 is this is the most eqregious SLAPP 5 suit that we have ever seen in four years under the Tennessee Public 6 7 Participation Act, these elected 8 officials suing citizens for 9 contacting them about local policy, 10 local tax policy, policy regarding 11 the upkeep of public parks. This is 12 their job to be responsive to 13 constituents who have these concerns 14 and bring them to their attention. 15 They did so successfully here. And 16 in response, they got sued. 17 As to whether it's not good 18 enough to say that it's highly 19 offensive, here's the problem, Your 20 Honor. This is their burden of proof 21 and they haven't found a single case 2.2 anywhere in America that says you can 23 sue somebody for publishing your cell 24 phone number. Certainly they haven't 25 found one that says elected officials

1	
1	can do this. And as to whether or
2	not this is or could be considered
3	highly offensive, all you need to do
4	is know that the Plaintiffs
5	themselves, at least two of them,
6	don't personally consider it to be
7	highly offensive because they put it
8	on the nominating petition that they
9	circulated to their constituents,
10	which are now on file with the
11	election commission.
12	As to whether or not that's
13	public, of course it's public. It is
14	a public record definitionally under
15	Tennessee law. That's how we got it.
16	Ask for the nominating petition.
17	Right there, cell phone number, first
18	page.
19	Your Honor, I don't know what
20	else to say other than that they
21	haven't met their evidentiary burden
22	on either element. As a matter of
23	law, these claims fail completely and
24	they have got nothing to respond to
25	our valid defenses here. Every

1	single court that has adjudicated
2	anything even resembling this issue
3	has held that the First Amendment
4	protects the right to publish contact
5	information like this. That is a
6	valid defense to liability.
7	Respectfully, there is only one
8	correct ruling here and it is not a
9	close call. Petition should be
10	granted.
11	Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: So there was one
13	thing I noticed in your brief. You
14	said that they utilized White Pages.
15	Can you elaborate on that? One of
16	the two, I believe or one of the
17	three numbers, I think there was a
18	sentence in there that says that
19	Mr. Law utilized White Pages, which
20	is open to the public. So I am just
21	interested to flesh that out.
22	MR. HORWITZ: Yes. Here's my
23	understanding of that. I will note
24	that, you know, my law firm uses
25	similar software to locate people for

1 service of process or whatever. 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. HORWITZ: There is a White 4 Pages app, I think it's a 5 subscription service, that 6 aggregates --7 THE COURT: This is for the record. The Court understands what 8 9 White Pages are, but go ahead. 10 MR. HORWITZ: -- that aggregates 11 public information, public contact 12 information, and Mr. Law used that 13 subscription service to generate the 14 numbers that were then posted here 15 for the purpose of petitioning 16 elected officials about a proposed 50 17 percent tax increase. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 This Court speaks through its 20 orders, obviously, so I am going to 21 take this matter under advisement and 2.2 issue an opinion, but I will give you 23 the next ten days to issue a proposed 24 order, if you would like. I draft my 25 own orders, but if you want to send

1	me one, that's fine, but I will
2	certainly take this matter under
3	advisement. This is this is very
4	new ground under the law, so, like I
5	said, it's best that I flesh that out
6	in a written order because I'm sure
7	it's going to go up either direction
8	that I head. So I will do that as
9	soon as I can. Okay?
10	Any questions?
11	MR. HORWITZ: Thank you, Your
12	Honor.
13	MR. ELLIOTT: Thank you, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Very well
16	argued, very well briefed.
17	
18	(Nothing further was heard and
19	these matters were concluded at
20	approximately 9:57 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE)			
3	COUNTY OF LINCOLN)			
4	I, Angela Butler, LCR# 847, licensed			
5	court reporter and notary public, in and for			
6	the above hearing was reported by me and that			
7	the foregoing pages of the transcript are a			
8	true and accurate record to the best of my			
9	knowledge, skills, and ability.			
10	I further certify that I am not related			
11	to nor an employee of counsel or any of the			
12	parties to the action, nor am I in any way			
13	financially interested in the outcome of this			
14	case.			
15	I further certify that I am duly			
16	licensed by the Tennessee Board of Court			
17	Reporting as a Licensed Court Reporter as			
18	evidenced by the LCR number and expiration			
19	date following my name below.			
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set			
21	my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 16th			
22	day of December, 2023.			
23	ANGELA BUTLER, RPR, LCR# 916			
24	Expiration date: 06/30/2024			
25	Notary Public Commission Expires: 05/26/2026			

\$	А
\$750,000 [2] - 7:15, 27:21	a.m [2] - 3:10, 35:20 ability [1] - 36:9
0	able [1] - 28:9 absolutely [1] - 8:17
05/26/2026 [1] -	abundant [3] - 5:12, 11:6, 14:12
36:25 06/30/2024 [1] - 36:24	access [1] - 10:13 accurate [1] - 36:8
1	Act [8] - 4:5, 4:10, 4:20, 6:5, 11:21, 16:14, 27:17, 31:7
1 [2] - 1:13	action [1] - 36:12 actual [1] - 9:21
12 [6] - 23:3, 23:25, 25:25, 27:14, 27:15, 20	additionally [1] - 11:3
30:22 13 [2] - 1:13, 3:1 14 [1] - 23:13	address [2] - 8:7, 24:23
16 [2] - 25:14, 28:20 16th [1] - 36:21	addresses [3] - 20:4, 22:8, 28:24
17TH [1] - 1:2 186 [1] - 1:22	adjudicated [1] - 33:1
1997 [1] - 16:14	admissible [1] - 6:10 admit [1] - 26:22 admitted (2) - 26:15
2	admitted [3] - 26:15, 26:17, 28:13 admittedly [1] -
2023 [3] - 1:13, 3:1, 36:22	14:10 advisement [2] -
23-CV-132 [1] - 1:7 256 [1] - 25:2	34:21, 35:3 advocacy [3] - 7:6,
3	15:4, 15:17 advocates [2] - 5:8,
3310 [1] - 2:4 37203 [1] - 2:5	7:1 affixed [1] - 36:21
37209 [1] - 2:11 37334 [1] - 1:23	affords [1] - 15:20 aggregates [2] -
A	34:6, 34:10 ahead [1] - 34:9
4016 [1] - 2:10	Alabama [1] - 11:11 alderman [1] - 20:5
5	aldermen [5] - 20:1, 22:2, 28:22, 29:1
50 [1] - 34:16	Aldermen [1] - 22:12 allegation [2] -
550 [1] - 2:4	20:14, 20:22 alleged [1] - 4:8
8	Allen [3] - 3:8, 3:13, 24:2 ALLEN [1] - 1:5
847 [1] - 36:4	allow [1] - 9:24
9 916 [1] - 36:23	allows [1] - 9:19 ambit [1] - 11:15 Amendment [8] -
931-675-1190 [1] - 1:23	14:16, 14:25, 15:16,
9:31 [1] - 3:9 9:57 [1] - 35:20	15:20, 16:2, 16:8, 29:13, 33:3 America [1] - 31:22

analysis [1] - 18:1 **ANGELA** [2] - 1:22, 36:23 Angela [1] - 36:4 anti [1] - 16:13 Anti [1] - 16:14 anti-SLAPP [1] -16:13 Anti-SLAPP [1] -16:14 app [1] - 34:4 Appeals [1] - 17:20 APPEARANCES[1] -2:1 appended [1] - 13:1 applies [4] - 5:15, 13:22, 18:1, 30:18 appreciate [1] -18:21 area [3] - 11:11, 17:23, 25:2 arguably [1] - 9:10 argued [1] - 35:16 argument [4] - 7:23, 8:7, 12:24, 25:13 arguments [1] -16:12 articles [1] - 21:8 asserted [3] - 5:5, 5:12, 7:15 AT [2] - 2:3, 2:9 attacks [1] - 30:9 attempt [2] - 19:17, 22.11 attempting [1] - 7:20 attention [3] - 17:15, 18:3, 31:14 ATTORNEY [1] - 2:3 ATTORNEYS^[1] -2:9 authority [5] - 14:12, 16:3, 17:20, 17:21, 23:11 automatically [1] -20:25 **AVENUE** [1] - 2:4 award [1] - 17:13 aware [1] - 21:17 В bar [3] - 3:24, 19:5, 26:1 based [2] - 27:3 bases [1] - 17:8 basis [1] - 23:19 Beavers [1] - 13:3 begin [1] - 24:8 beginning [1] - 27:25 behalf [2] - 3:24,

19:6 below [1] - 36:19 best [2] - 35:5, 36:8 beyond [1] - 9:1 binding [1] - 14:11 bit [1] - 4:12 board [1] - 22:2 Board [2] - 22:12, 36:16 Box [1] - 1:22 brief [5] - 17:23, 18:3, 21:7, 23:7, 33:13 briefed [1] - 35:16 bring [4] - 15:5, 17:14, 18:2, 31:14 **burden** [10] - 6:4, 6:7, 13:9, 13:20, 13:25, 15:22, 18:8, 30:20, 31:20, 32:21 BURK [1] - 3:4 business [4] - 10:15, 10:25, 20:6, 24:25 Butler [1] - 36:4 BUTLER [2] - 1:22, 36:23

С

campaign [2] - 5:9, 7:7 candidate [1] - 8:21 cannot [4] - 6:20. 8:2, 13:16, 26:9 case [23] - 5:20, 6:11, 6:25, 8:18, 9:7, 12:16, 12:19, 12:25, 13:4, 14:11, 18:10, 20:14, 21:5, 21:11, 26:7, 26:9, 26:17, 26:25, 28:4, 29:10, 30:25, 31:21, 36:14 cases [3] - 14:17, 14:24, 21:9 caustic [1] - 30:8 cell [29] - 7:9, 7:17, 7:24, 8:4, 8:13, 8:19, 8:24, 9:4, 9:16, 9:22, 10:7, 10:11, 10:14, 10:18, 10:24, 11:4, 20:15, 21:1, 21:2, 21:23, 22:13, 22:16, 23:16, 24:17, 26:22, 27:23, 30:14, 31:23, 32:17 cent [1] - 27:20 certainly [3] - 24:17, 31:24, 35:2 CERTIFICATE [1] -36:1

certify [2] - 36:10, 36.15 channels [1] - 29:3 **CIRCUIT** [2] - 1:1, 3.3 Circuit [1] - 29:11 circulated [1] - 32:9 circumstances [2] -15:21, 16:16 citation [4] - 7:23, 12:24, 12:25, 23:18 cited [5] - 21:5, 21:8, 21:10, 21:12, 29:8 citizen [2] - 5:7, 7:1 citizens [4] - 7:11, 9:24, 15:8, 31:8 city [4] - 10:19, 10:21, 22:1, 24:19 City [5] - 20:1, 20:6, 20:9, 28:17, 28:24 City's [5] - 20:3, 22:4, 22:8, 24:21, 24:24 claim [11] - 4:7, 4:8, 5:1, 5:6, 5:14, 7:19, 12:10, 13:17, 19:13, 28:12 claimed [1] - 27:22 claims [7] - 4:17, 6:13. 13:14. 14:1. 18:12, 25:16, 32:23 clarify [1] - 27:12 cleaning [1] - 24:19 cleanliness [1] -15:13 clear [1] - 9:1 clients [3] - 4:2, 10:4, 28:2 clients' [1] - 16:9 close [2] - 25:3, 33:9 closer [1] - 30:7 co [1] - 3:25 co-counsel [1] - 3:25 **code** [2] - 11:12, 25:2 codefendant [1] -16:22 commentary [1] -23:8 Commission [1] -36:24 commission [5] -8:23. 24:5. 24:10. 24:13, 32:11 communicate [1] -28.21 communicated [1] -29:16 community [2] -

11:8, 11:13

compensatory [1] -	3:3, 36:2
7:16	couple [2] - 16:11,
complaint [5] -	29:9
16:20, 18:18, 22:24,	course [3] - 9:13,
26:5, 26:12	10:6, 32:13
completely [1] -	court [4] - 21:9, 22:3
32:23	33:1, 36:5
conceivable [2] -	COURT [22] - 1:1,
9:2, 30:19	3:3, 3:12, 3:22, 4:3,
concern [13] - 6:19,	10:19, 11:25, 15:9,
9:12, 9:18, 10:1, 10:9,	15:12, 16:23, 18:20,
11:2, 11:16, 11:22,	19:1, 20:17, 21:14,
12:8, 15:4, 21:22,	25:8, 25:12, 27:8,
28:6, 28:10	33:12, 34:2, 34:7,
concerned [1] - 7:1	34:18, 35:15
concerns [3] - 7:2,	Court [16] - 6:3,
21:4, 31:13	13:12, 13:24, 17:19,
concluded [1] -	17:21, 18:7, 18:13,
35:19	23:12, 25:5, 25:24, 30:2, 34:8, 34:19,
conduct [2] - 10:15,	
10:24	36:16, 36:17
connections [1] -	Court's [2] - 17:14, 18:3
11:8	
consider [2] - 9:3,	courts [1] - 14:25 CRV [2] - 9:1, 24:16
32:6	CRV [2] - 9.1, 24.10 Cuccinelli [1] - 29:1
considered [2] -	
13:14, 32:2	curious [3] - 22:23, 22:24, 25:19
constituents [6] - 9:19, 28:8, 29:4,	22.24, 23.19
	D
7471 ST 13 37U	
29:21, 31:13, 32:9	U
constitutionally [1] -	damages [2] - 7:16,
constitutionally [1] - 15:25	
constitutionally [1] -	damages [2] - 7:16,
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] -	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:25 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21,
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22
$\begin{array}{c} \text{constitutionally [1] -} \\ 15:25 \\ \text{construed [1] -} \\ 12:12 \\ \text{contact [15] - 7:11,} \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \text{contacted [8] - 20:6,} \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \text{contacting [1] - 31:9} \\ \text{contest [1] - 5:25} \\ \text{contested [1] - 5:17} \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] -
$\begin{array}{c} \text{constitutionally [1] -} \\ 15:25 \\ \text{construed [1] -} \\ 12:12 \\ \text{contact [15] - 7:11,} \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \text{contacted [8] - 20:6,} \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \text{contacting [1] - 31:9} \\ \text{contest [1] - 5:25} \\ \text{contested [1] - 5:17} \\ \text{contary [1] - 19:20} \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1
$\begin{array}{c} \text{constitutionally [1] -} \\ 15:25 \\ \text{construed [1] -} \\ 12:12 \\ \text{contact [15] - 7:11,} \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \text{contacted [8] - 20:6,} \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \text{contacting [1] - 31:9} \\ \text{contest [1] - 5:25} \\ \text{contested [1] - 5:17} \\ \text{contary [1] - 19:20} \\ \text{convened [1] - 3:9} \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:25 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25\\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12\\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11\\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20\\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9\\ \textbf{contest}[1] - 5:25\\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17\\ \textbf{contrary}[1] - 19:20\\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9\\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6\\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:25 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 dealing [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealit [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20 contacting [1] - 31:9 contest [1] - 5:25 contested [1] - 5:17 contrary [1] - 19:20 convened [1] - 3:9 copy [1] - 22:6 core [1] - 15:6	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:25 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20 contacting [1] - 31:9 contest [1] - 5:25 contested [1] - 5:17 contrary [1] - 19:20 convened [1] - 3:9 copy [1] - 22:6 core [1] - 15:6 correct [2] - 18:1,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:24 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] -
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20 contacting [1] - 31:9 contact [1] - 5:25 contested [1] - 5:17 contrary [1] - 19:20 convened [1] - 3:9 copy [1] - 22:6 core [1] - 15:6 correct [2] - 18:1, 33:8	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:24 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25 \\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12 \\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contrary}[1] - 19:20 \\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9 \\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6 \\ \textbf{correct}[2] - 18:1, \\ 33:8 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 3:25, \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:24 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 15:2 dealt [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20 contacting [1] - 31:9 contact [1] - 5:25 contested [1] - 5:17 contrary [1] - 19:20 convened [1] - 3:9 copy [1] - 22:6 core [1] - 15:6 correct [2] - 18:1, 33:8 counsel [5] - 3:25, 28:1, 28:14, 31:2,	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:24 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13 Defendant's [1] -
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25 \\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12 \\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contrary}[1] - 19:20 \\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9 \\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6 \\ \textbf{correct}[2] - 18:1, \\ 33:8 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 3:25, \\ 28:1, 28:14, 31:2, \\ 36:11 \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13 Defendant's [1] - 29:14
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25 \\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12 \\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contestd}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contestd}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contrary}[1] - 19:20 \\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9 \\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6 \\ \textbf{correct}[2] - 18:1, \\ 33:8 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 3:25, \\ 28:1, 28:14, 31:2, \\ 36:11 \\ \textbf{Counsel}[1] - 11:25 \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13 Defendant's [1] - 29:14 defendants [1] - 1:9
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25 \\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12 \\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contestd}[1] - 5:27 \\ \textbf{contestd}[1] - 5:27 \\ \textbf{contestd}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contracting}[1] - 19:20 \\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9 \\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6 \\ \textbf{correct}[2] - 18:1, \\ 33:8 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 3:25, \\ 28:1, 28:14, 31:2, \\ 36:11 \\ \textbf{Counsel}[1] - 11:25 \\ \textbf{counsel}[1] - 5:16 \\ \end{array}$	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13 Defendant's [1] - 29:14 defendants [1] - 1:9 Defendants [1] - 1:9
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{constitutionally}[1] - \\ 15:25 \\ \textbf{construed}[1] - \\ 12:12 \\ \textbf{contact}[15] - 7:11, \\ 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, \\ 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, \\ 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, \\ 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, \\ 34:11 \\ \textbf{contacted}[8] - 20:6, \\ 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, \\ 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, \\ 29:20 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 31:9 \\ \textbf{contacting}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contacted}[1] - 5:25 \\ \textbf{contested}[1] - 5:17 \\ \textbf{contracting}[1] - 19:20 \\ \textbf{convened}[1] - 3:9 \\ \textbf{copy}[1] - 22:6 \\ \textbf{core}[1] - 15:6 \\ \textbf{correct}[2] - 18:1, \\ 33:8 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 3:25, \\ 28:1, 28:14, 31:2, \\ 36:11 \\ \textbf{Counsel}[1] - 11:25 \\ \textbf{counsel}[5] - 5:16 \\ \textbf{County}[1] - 17:25 \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{damages} [2] - 7:16, \\ 27:21 \\ \mbox{DANIEL} [1] - 2:8 \\ \mbox{Daniel} [1] - 3:23 \\ \mbox{date} [4] - 17:15, \\ 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 \\ \mbox{Davidson} [1] - 17:25 \\ \mbox{days} [2] - 13:6, 34:2 \\ \mbox{days} [2] - 13:6, 34:2 \\ \mbox{deal} [1] - 16:2 \\ \mbox{deal} [1] - 16:2 \\ \mbox{deal} [1] - 15:2 \\ \mbox{deal} [3] - 19:21, \\ \mbox{28:3}, 28:5 \\ \mbox{December} [1] - \\ 36:22 \\ \mbox{December} [2] - \\ $
constitutionally [1] - 15:25 construed [1] - 12:12 contact [15] - 7:11, 8:5, 9:24, 11:5, 11:17, 14:14, 14:19, 14:21, 19:25, 22:5, 24:18, 28:9, 29:18, 33:4, 34:11 contacted [8] - 20:6, 20:8, 24:20, 24:22, 28:16, 29:2, 29:8, 29:20 contacting [1] - 31:9 contest [1] - 5:25 contested [1] - 5:17 contrary [1] - 19:20 convened [1] - 3:9 copy [1] - 22:6 correct [2] - 18:1, 33:8 counsel [5] - 3:25, 28:1, 28:14, 31:2, 36:11 Counsel [1] - 11:25 counsel [1] - 5:16	damages [2] - 7:16, 27:21 DANIEL [1] - 2:8 Daniel [1] - 3:23 date [4] - 17:15, 18:5, 36:19, 36:24 Davidson [1] - 17:29 days [2] - 13:6, 34:2 deal [1] - 16:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealing [1] - 15:2 dealt [1] - 14:17 debate [3] - 19:21, 28:3, 28:5 December [1] - 36:22 DECEMBER [2] - 1:13, 3:1 decide [3] - 29:7, 29:14, 29:21 decision [1] - 17:24 declaration [2] - 28:19, 28:21 deems [1] - 18:13 Defendant's [1] - 29:14 defendants [1] - 1:9 Defendants [1] - 1:9

e [2] - 16:11, e [3] - 9:13, ·13 4] - 21:9, 22:3, 33:6 .5 **T** [22] - 1:1, 2, 3:22, 4:3, 1:25, 15:9, 6:23, 18:20, 11:23):17, 21:14, :12, 27:8, 12:5 4:2, 34:7, 5:15 [16] **- 6:3**, 3:24, 17:19, 8:7, 18:13, 5:5, 25:24, :8, 34:19, 6:17 s [2] - 17:14, 13:21 [1] - 14:25 1 - 9:1, 24:16 nelli [1] - 29:10 **IS** [3] - 22:23, 5:19 D ges [2] - 7:16, L [1] - 2:8 [1] - 3:23 - 17:15, :19, 36:24 son [1] - 17:25 2] - 13:6, 34:23 - 16:2 17:11 **g** [1] - 15:2 1] - 14:17 18:18 e [3] - 19:21, ·5 nber [1] -30:13 MBER [2] -**3** [3] - 29:7, 9:21 on [1] - 17:24 ation [2] -8:21 s [1] - 18:13 dant's [1] -20:13 dants [1] - 1:9 dants [12] -7, 3:25, 14:7,

20:14, 21:5, 22:20, 23:14, 26:15 Defendants' [5] -19:8, 19:18, 20:23, 21:12, 23:7 defense [2] - 16:7, defenses [3] - 14:8, 18:14, 32:25 defined [2] - 5:3, defines [2] - 11:21, definition [1] - 12:11 definitionally [2] -28:10, 32:14 DEMAND [1] - 1:7 demonstrate [3] -5:19, 6:11, 15:23 demonstrated [2] -14:10, 16:7 demonstrating [1] denied [3] - 19:10, 20:25, 27:6 deny [1] - 26:21 despite [1] - 11:12 determine [1] - 17:21 different [1] - 30:1 direction [1] - 35:7 disclosed [3] - 6:15, 6:18, 9:11 disclosing [1] - 9:16 dismiss [5] - 3:16, 4:6, 4:13, 22:20, 23:1 **Dismiss** [6] - 19:9, 20:23, 23:3, 25:22, 26:25, 27:5 dismissal [3] - 4:16, dismissed [1] dispositive [1] - 4:15 dispute [1] - 9:2 distress [2] - 27:22, district [1] - 21:8 DISTRICT [1] - 1:2 DIX [1] - 2:9 Dix [1] - 4:1 Dobbs [1] - 26:8 done [5] - 5:24, 6:8, 16:1, 19:22, 25:7 door [1] - 12:1 Dorothy [2] - 3:13, DOROTHY [1] - 1:5 down [1] - 25:6 draft [1] - 34:24 DRIVE [1] - 2:10

duly [1] - 36:15 Ε e-mail [4] - 20:4, 22:8, 24:23, 28:24 e-mails [1] - 20:9 earth [1] - 21:19 easier [2] - 9:10, 29:3 eat [1] - 25:6 editorial [1] - 23:8 effort [1] - 7:6 Efforts [1] - 28:21 egregious [1] - 31:4 eight [2] - 12:23, 29:12 either [4] - 6:21, 13:16, 32:22, 35:7 elaborate [1] - 33:15 elected [14] - 7:8, 7:9, 7:18, 7:25, 9:14, 9:16, 9:25, 10:7, 10:10, 11:3, 30:11, 31:7, 31:25, 34:16 election [5] - 8:23, 24:5, 24:9, 24:12, 32:11 element [9] - 6:12, 6:21, 12:17, 12:19, 13:16, 14:1, 18:11, 28:11, 32:22 elements [1] - 6:14 Elliott [2] - 18:22, 19:5 ELLIOTT [8] - 2:3, 18:24, 19:3, 20:19, 21:16, 25:10, 25:14, 35.13 emotional [2] -27:22, 30:13 employee [1] - 36:11 end [5] - 9:7, 10:5, 20:12, 23:18, 28:3 END [1] - 2:4 equipped [1] - 30:11 especially [3] - 15:1, 23:2, 23:24 **ESQ** [4] - 2:3, 2:8, 2:8. 2:9 essence [1] - 26:23 essential [3] - 6:12, 14:1, 18:11 essentially [4] -6:25, 23:2, 25:24, 26:2 established [9] -12:16, 12:18, 14:7, 18:8, 18:10, 18:14, 20:12, 26:13, 26:23

euphemisms [1] -31.3 evidence [10] - 5:6, 5:13. 6:10. 7:22. 10:16, 12:21, 13:1, 13:6, 13:13, 27:19 evidenced [1] -36:18 evidentiary [4] -13:9, 27:18, 30:23, 32:21 exact [1] - 16:21 exercise [4] - 5:2, 5:22, 9:20, 15:17 exists [2] - 21:15, 21:16 expected [1] - 30:6 expiration [1] - 36:18 Expiration [1] -36:24 Expires [1] - 36:25 expressed [1] - 7:2 extraordinary [1] -8:18 F Facebook [1] - 7:5 facie [6] - 5:20, 6:11, 12:16, 12:19, 13:20, 18:10 fact [4] - 6:1, 11:1, 30:5, 30:20 facts [4] - 6:25, 26:11, 26:13, 26:16 factually [1] - 26:24 fail [1] - 32:23 failures [1] - 7:4 far [2] - 21:17, 21:19 Fayetteville [1] -1:23 FAYETTEVILLE [1] -3.1 fee [2] - 17:13, 18:5 figure [1] - 12:7 file [7] - 8:22, 12:21, 13:6, 18:5, 24:9, 24:12, 32:10 filed [3] - 3:16, 5:1, 12:22 final [1] - 27:9 financially [1] -36.13 fine [2] - 11:14, 35:1 firm [1] - 33:24 First [8] - 14:15, 14:25, 15:16, 15:20, 16:2, 16:8, 29:13, 33:3

first [6] - 4:24, 6:1,

6:14, 9:18, 18:8, 32:17 FISHER [1] - 2:4 five [1] - 13:6 flat [1] - 19:10 flaunted [1] - 26:18 flesh [2] - 33:21, 35:5 Florida [1] - 21:10 following [1] - 36:19 footnote [1] - 29:12 FOR [2] - 1:2, 3:3 foregoing [1] - 36:7 form [1] - 19:21 forum [1] - 17:2 forward [1] - 6:10	$\label{eq:hereunto} [1] - 36:20 \\ \mbox{high [1] - 26:1} \\ \mbox{higher [1] - 30:17} \\ \mbox{highest [1] - 15:19} \\ \mbox{Highlands [1] - 26:7} \\ \mbox{highly [8] - 6:16}, \\ \mbox{7:25, 8:15, 9:5, 23:16}, \\ \mbox{31:18, 32:3, 32:7} \\ \mbox{holding [2] - 16:3}, \\ \mbox{29:12} \\ \mbox{Hond [1] - 3:4} \\ \mbox{Honda [1] - 9:1} \\ \mbox{Honor [36] - 3:21}, \\ \mbox{4:4, 4:11, 4:22, 8:2}, \\ \mbox{15:5, 17:7, 18:25}, \\ \mbox{19:4, 19:8, 19:11}, \\ \mbox{4:4, 9:11, 1, 12.2} \\ \mbox{10.1}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.1}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \\\mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \mbox{10.2}, \\ \mbox{10.2}, 10.2$	invasion [2] - 4:7, 12:10 invasive [2] - 19:22, 28:15 involved [1] - 6:14 involves [1] - 6:15 involving [2] - 5:7, 14:20 issue [4] - 12:5, 33:2, 34:22, 34:23 issues [4] - 11:21, 11:23, 14:19, 28:5 J job [1] - 31:12	$\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Licensed} [1] - 36:17 \\ \mbox{LINCOLN} [3] - 1:1, \\ 3:3, 36:2 \\ \mbox{LINDSAY} [1] - 2:8 \\ \mbox{Lindsey} [1] - 4:1 \\ \mbox{link} [1] - 22:4 \\ \mbox{listed} [2] - 22:8, \\ 24:23 \\ \mbox{local} [8] - 5:9, 7:2, \\ 7:3, 7:4, 7:13, 10:1, \\ 31:9, 31:10 \\ \mbox{locate} [1] - 33:25 \\ \mbox{look} [1] - 23:6 \\ \mbox{lose} [2] - 9:8, 13:10 \\ \mbox{loss} [1] - 13:5 \\ \end{array}$	minimum [1] - 15:22 misapprehension [1] - 27:13 morning [3] - 3:20, 3:22, 18:23 most [1] - 31:4 Motion [6] - 19:9, 20:23, 23:3, 25:22, 26:24, 27:4 motion [10] - 3:7, 4:13, 4:14, 25:25, 26:9, 27:14, 27:16, 27:19, 30:22, 30:24 MOTION [1] - 1:12 MR [20] - 3:20, 3:23, 4:4, 10:21, 12:4,
foundation [1] - 23:19 four [1] - 31:5 fourth [1] - 11:19 Fourth [1] - 29:11 free [2] - 5:3, 5:22 freedom [2] - 29:7, 29:14 front [1] - 20:12 full [1] - 12:24	20:11, 21:2, 21:20, 22:18, 22:19, 23:4, 23:5, 23:22, 24:1, 25:2, 25:11, 25:18, 25:23, 26:8, 27:2, 27:11, 28:4, 28:18, 29:24, 30:12, 31:20, 32:19, 33:11, 35:12, 35:14 HORWITZ [15] - 2:8,	JON [1] - 1:8 Jon [1] - 3:14 judgment [1] - 4:15 JUDICIAL [1] - 1:2 jurisprudence [1] - 14:16 JURY [1] - 1:7 justifiable [1] - 21:22 K	M mail [4] - 20:4, 22:8, 24:23, 28:24 mails [1] - 20:9 maintenance [2] - 5:11, 10:2 mandatory [2] - 13:5, 17:13 marshaled [1] - 16:1	15:11, 15:14, 17:1, 18:24, 19:3, 20:19, 21:16, 25:10, 25:14, 27:10, 33:22, 34:3, 34:10, 35:11, 35:13 multiple [1] - 17:7 municipal [1] - 28:23 mustered [1] - 16:4 N
G generate [1] - 34:13 Gertz [1] - 30:3 government [7] - 5:9, 7:3, 7:4, 10:1, 11:24, 12:6, 29:16 granted [3] - 17:9, 18:17, 33:10 granting [1] - 17:8	2:10, 3:20, 3:23, 4:4, 10:21, 12:4, 15:11, 15:14, 17:1, 27:10, 33:22, 34:3, 34:10, 35:11 Horwitz [3] - 3:18, 3:23, 27:8 host [2] - 9:15, 14:17 HOWELL [1] - 2:4 Huntsville [2] - 25:4, 25:6	knowledge [1] - 36:9 knows [1] - 4:11 L lacks [1] - 7:23 last [1] - 17:24 LAW [4] - 11:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:10 law [19] - 8:10, 8:16,	Martinez [4] - 3:8, 3:14, 24:3, 24:15 MARTINEZ [1] - 1:5 matter [16] - 3:17, 6:15, 6:18, 9:11, 9:17, 10:5, 10:8, 11:1, 11:16, 12:7, 12:8, 19:7, 23:15, 32:22, 34:21, 35:2 matters [6] - 9:25, 11:18, 15:3, 15:4,	name [1] - 36:19 named [1] - 16:20 Nandigam [1] - 13:2 Nashville [3] - 3:24, 19:5, 25:3 NASHVILLE [2] - 2:5, 2:11 necessarily [1] - 13:11 necessary [2] -
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{great} [1] - 16:2\\ \textbf{grievances} [2] -\\ 7:12, 10:3\\ \textbf{ground} [3] - 13:12,\\ 21:18, 35:4\\ \textbf{Guenther} [1] - 26:9\\ \textbf{guess} [1] - 27:12\\ \textbf{guys} [1] - 4:3\\ \hline \textbf{H}\\ \hline \textbf{hand} [1] - 36:21\\ \textbf{harass} [1] - 22:11\\ \textbf{harassing} [1] - 19:23\\ \textbf{harmonize} [1] - 19:23\\ \textbf{harmonize} [1] - 12:9\\ \textbf{head} [1] - 35:8\\ \textbf{hear} [1] - 35:8\\ \textbf{hear} [3] - 28:1,\\ 28:14, 35:18\\ \textbf{HEARING} [1] - 1:12\\ \textbf{hearing} [3] - 3:7,\\ 13:7, 36:6\\ \textbf{held} [2] - 30:16, 33:3\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11:19, 12:5, 12:9, 12:25, 16:25, 17:23, 21:5, 21:25, 23:10, 23:15, 25:15, 32:15, 32:23, 33:19, 33:24, 34:12, 35:4 Law [5] - 3:8, 3:14, 21:7, 22:6, 28:18 lawsuit [3] - 4:25, 19:12, 19:17 LCR [3] - 36:4, 36:18, 36:23 least [3] - 4:23, 26:24, 32:5 legal [2] - 7:22, 26:4 legitimate [4] - 6:19, 9:12, 21:3, 21:21 less [3] - 19:22, 19:23, 28:15 liability [2] - 18:15, 33:6 licensed [2] - 36:4, 36:16	25:5, 35:19 Mayor [2] - 10:17, 22:17 mayor [2] - 10:19, 10:22 mean [3] - 21:17, 23:24, 25:25 meaningfully [1] - 9:20 meet [5] - 6:21, 13:8, 13:16, 13:25, 28:12 MELISSA [1] - 2:9 Melissa [1] - 4:1 members [1] - 22:3 memorandum [2] - 23:13, 25:15 Memphis [1] - 26:7 message [1] - 29:15 met [6] - 6:3, 12:15, 13:19, 28:24, 30:19, 32:21 methods [3] - 19:23, 20:1, 28:15	10:12, 18:13 need [4] - 4:24, 22:1, 27:12, 32:3 needed [1] - 6:8 needs [1] - 20:11 Neurology [1] - 13:2 New [1] - 30:3 new [4] - 17:22, 21:18, 35:4 next [1] - 34:23 NO [1] - 1:7 nominating [5] - 8:20, 8:21, 24:2, 32:8, 32:16 notarial [1] - 36:21 notary [1] - 36:5 Notary [1] - 36:24 note [5] - 14:3, 16:11, 17:16, 29:24, 33:23 noted [1] - 11:10 Nothing [1] - 35:18 nothing [3] - 16:5,

23:19, 32:24	34:25	11:4, 20:3, 20:10,	34:16, 34:23	R
noticed [1] - 33:13	OSGOOD [1] - 1:8	20:16, 21:1, 21:3,	protected [2] -	IN IN
number [17] - 7:24,	Osgood [3] - 3:8,	21:23, 22:16, 23:16,	14:15, 16:4	rather [1] - 8:8
8:14, 8:25, 9:17, 10:8,	3:15, 16:20	24:18, 24:22, 26:22,	protection [1] -	reading [1] - 5:16
10:11, 11:4, 12:17,	Ostergren [1] - 29:9	28:22, 30:14, 31:24,	15:19	really [3] - 21:7,
12:19, 20:16, 21:1,	outcome [1] - 36:13	32:17	protects [4] - 15:1,	24:11, 26:1
24:16, 24:18, 24:22,	outset [1] - 27:13	phones [4] - 10:14,	16:9, 29:13, 33:4	reason [4] - 10:6,
31:24, 32:17, 36:18	outside [1] - 11:15	10:24, 22:13, 27:23	prove [1] - 4:24	11:1, 21:23, 22:10
numbers [17] - 7:9,	own [5] - 8:19, 8:24,	places [1] - 21:10	provide [1] - 11:6	reasonable [4] -
7:17, 8:20, 9:5, 9:23,	13:20, 20:15, 34:25	Plaintiffs [16] - 1:6,	provides [1] - 16:15	6:16, 8:1, 8:9, 23:17
14:23, 20:3, 20:10,	13.20, 20.13, 34.23	2:2, 6:7, 7:19, 8:19,	proving [1] - 13:25	
21:3, 21:24, 22:7,		9:3, 11:11, 19:6,		reasonableness [1] -
22:16, 24:14, 26:22,	Р	19:13, 19:18, 19:20,	provision [1] - 17:17	30:17
30:14, 33:17, 34:14	page [4] - 12:23,	20:7, 24:10, 29:19,	pubic [1] - 11:2	reasons [3] - 12:14,
50.14, 55.17, 54.14	23:13, 25:14, 32:18	30:10, 32:4	Public [8] - 4:5, 4:10,	17:6, 18:16
0		Plaintiffs' [7] - 4:6,	4:19, 6:5, 11:20,	recap [1] - 13:18
0	Pages [4] - 33:14,		27:16, 31:6, 36:24	recopied [1] - 16:21
objective [1] - 8:8	33:19, 34:4, 34:9	4:17, 15:22, 18:18,	public [35] - 5:8,	record [4] - 10:16,
objective [1] - 8.8 obtained [2] - 22:15,	pages [1] - 36:7	22:22, 25:16, 26:3	5:11, 6:19, 9:12, 9:18,	32:14, 34:8, 36:8
	paragraph [1] -	play [1] - 16:13	10:1, 10:2, 10:9,	records [1] - 10:13
24:4	28:20	PLLC [2] - 2:4, 2:10	10:13, 10:15, 11:16,	redress [2] - 7:12,
obviously [1] - 34:20	park [2] - 15:14,	plowing [1] - 21:18	11:22, 12:6, 12:7,	10:3
OF [4] - 1:1, 1:2,	24:19	point [1] - 8:4	15:2, 15:3, 15:14,	refer [2] - 22:22,
36:2, 36:2	parks [4] - 5:11,	policy [11] - 5:10,	20:21, 21:4, 24:8,	23:12
offensive [8] - 6:16,	10:3, 15:13, 31:11	7:2, 7:13, 10:2, 15:9,	24:11, 28:6, 28:11,	reference [1] - 30:25
8:1, 8:15, 9:5, 23:17,	part [2] - 7:5, 7:6	15:11, 15:12, 24:19,	29:25, 30:5, 30:7,	regarding [9] - 5:9,
31:19, 32:3, 32:7	Participation [7] -	31:9, 31:10	31:11, 32:13, 32:14,	5:10, 5:14, 9:25,
office [1] - 28:23	4:5, 4:10, 4:20, 6:5,	political [1] - 15:7	33:20, 34:11, 36:5	21:21, 24:1, 28:7,
Office [1] - 1:22	11:21, 27:17, 31:7	portion [1] - 18:4	publication [2] - 9:4,	31:10
Officer [1] - 12:2	particularly [1] -	position [3] - 6:20,	15:1	related [2] - 12:5,
official [4] - 7:25,	10:13	21:6, 21:13	publicize [1] - 24:17	36:10
10:24, 12:6, 29:3	parties [1] - 36:12	possibly [1] - 8:2	publicized [3] -	relation [2] - 5:1,
official's [4] - 9:16,	paste [1] - 22:7	post [2] - 16:24,	24:13, 24:15, 30:15	5:21
10:7, 10:11, 11:4	people [2] - 8:5,	21:25	publish [2] - 21:1,	relatively [1] - 17:22
officials [13] - 7:8,	33:25	Post [1] - 1:22	33:4	relief [3] - 26:11,
7:10, 7:18, 9:14, 9:25,	percent [1] - 34:17	posted [2] - 20:4,	published [10] - 7:8,	26:13, 26:16
15:3, 28:9, 29:25,	perfectly [1] - 11:14	34:14	8:19, 8:24, 9:23, 17:3,	remind [1] - 25:23
30:6, 30:11, 31:8,	perhaps [1] - 12:20	posting [4] - 14:19,	20:8, 20:15, 24:8,	replete [1] - 23:7
31:25, 34:16	person [5] - 6:17,	14:20, 21:23, 22:13	26:21, 27:24	reported [1] - 36:6
once [1] - 6:8	8:1, 8:9, 8:13, 23:17	preferred [1] - 28:16	publishing [6] -	reported [1] - 36:5
one [19] - 5:18, 6:9,	personal [2] - 22:13,	prejudice [3] - 4:18,	7:17, 7:24, 8:14,	Reporter [1] - 36:17
6:22, 8:23, 10:10,	24:25	17:12, 18:19	14:14, 23:15, 31:23	REPORTER'S [1] -
11:10, 12:17, 13:19,	personally [1] - 32:6	presented [1] - 28:6	purely [1] - 7:22	36:1
14:24, 21:14, 21:16,	persuasive [1] -	PRESIDING [1] - 3:4	purpose [3] - 7:10,	Reporting [1] - 36:17
22:10, 27:1, 31:25,	14:12	pretty [1] - 21:7	9:22, 34:15	
33:7, 33:12, 33:15,	petition [23] - 3:16,	prevail [1] - 6:23	purposes [1] - 30:15	republication [2] -
33:16, 35:1	4:6, 4:16, 5:4, 5:23,	prima [6] - 5:20,	put [1] - 32:7	16:24, 17:2
online [2] - 8:25,	8:21, 9:21, 12:22,	6:11, 12:16, 12:18,		requires [3] - 8:10,
24:6	15:18, 17:8, 17:9,	13:20, 18:10	Q	27:18, 27:19
oOo [1] - 3:5	18:5, 18:17, 19:9,	privacy [3] - 4:8,	¥	resembling [2] -
open [1] - 33:20	22:19, 22:23, 27:5,	12:10, 19:15	questions [1] - 35:10	27:15, 33:2
opinion [3] - 25:20,	27:17, 29:5, 29:22,	private [2] - 14:21,	quintessential [1] -	residency [1] - 11:7
25:21, 34:22	32:8, 32:16, 33:9	17:5	15:16	respectfully [1] -
opposing [4] - 5:16,	, ,		Quintessential [1] -	33:7
28:1, 28:14, 31:1	petitioning [4] - 5:8, 7:7, 30:16, 34:15	problem [1] - 31:19	15:17	respond [2] - 29:6,
opposite [1] - 28:13		proceed [1] - 12:3	quite [2] - 14:18,	32:24
opposition [1] -	petitions [2] - 8:22,	process [1] - 34:1	28:13	response [8] - 5:2,
19:19	24:2	promote [2] - 7:7,		5:17, 5:21, 11:13,
order [5] - 6:22, 12:9,	phone [28] - 7:9,	10:12	quote [4] - 23:14,	12:22, 12:23, 18:12,
22:5, 34:24, 35:6	7:17, 7:24, 8:5, 8:13,	proof [3] - 26:6,	23:18, 28:2, 29:11	31:16
orders [2] - 34:20,	8:20, 8:25, 9:4, 9:17,	30:20, 31:20		responses [1] -
510015[2] - 34.20,	9:22, 10:8, 10:11,	proposed [2] -		28:25

responsive [1] -	16:14, 31:4	sue [1] - 31:23	TONYA [1] - 1:5	vehement [1] - 30:8
31:12	Small [3] - 3:7, 3:13,	sued [2] - 7:14,	tort [4] - 5:14, 12:9,	versus [7] - 3:8,
restricted [1] - 15:24	20:13	31:16	13:17, 18:12	3:14, 13:2, 26:7, 26:8,
Review [1] - 21:8	SMALL [1] - 1:5	suffering [1] - 30:13	totally [1] - 21:18	29:10, 30:4
ridiculous [2] -	SMITH [1] - 2:8	sufficiency [1] - 26:4	touch [1] - 22:1	violating [1] - 19:15
22:21, 25:17	Smith [1] - 4:1	suing [1] - 31:8	Towry [1] - 3:15	VOLUME [1] - 1:13
Roger [1] - 3:13	Social [1] - 14:22	suit [1] - 31:5	TOWRY [1] - 1:8	vs[1] - 1:7
ROGER [1] - 1:5	software [1] - 33:25	SUITE [1] - 2:4		V3[i]- 1./
			transcript [1] - 36:7	10/
room [1] - 4:2	someone [1] - 23:15	Sullivan [1] - 30:4	transparency [1] -	W
RPR [1] - 36:23	sometimes [1] - 30:8	summary [1] - 4:14	10:12	woit (4) 11:25
rule [2] - 13:12, 18:7	soon [1] - 35:9	support [3] - 21:6,	transparently [1] -	wait [1] - 11:25
Rule [6] - 23:3,	sorry [1] - 12:3	21:12, 27:21	25:17	walk [2] - 4:9, 6:24
23:25, 25:25, 27:14,	speaks [1] - 34:19	supported [1] - 5:6	tried [2] - 24:6, 30:21	warranting [1] -
27:15, 30:22	speech [10] - 5:3,	supposed [1] - 13:4	trigger [1] - 17:12	26:11
ruling [4] - 6:2,	5:22, 14:15, 15:7,	Supreme [2] - 17:20,	true [6] - 8:3, 13:15,	Washington [1] -
13:18, 13:23, 33:8	15:20, 15:24, 16:4,	30:2	23:23, 26:3, 26:12,	21:9
rulings [1] - 4:23	16:9, 16:17	surmise [1] - 22:15	36:8	ways [1] - 9:15
	stage [1] - 26:6	sustained [1] - 26:10	truthful [2] - 16:16,	website [7] - 20:3,
S	standard [3] - 8:12,		16:18	20:9, 22:4, 22:9,
	23:25, 30:17	Т	trying [2] - 8:25, 29:5	24:21, 24:24, 28:17
sanctions [3] -	standards [2] - 27:4,	•	turning [1] - 9:9	week [1] - 17:24
17:17, 17:22, 18:4	30:1	T.P.P.A [4] - 5:15,	two [12] - 4:23, 6:6,	welcome [2] - 19:20,
sanders [1] - 16:19	STATE [1] - 36:2	13:21, 14:3, 18:9	6:13, 8:18, 9:9, 12:19,	28:5
scrutiny [1] - 30:7	state [5] - 21:12,	tax [8] - 5:10, 10:2,	14:5, 20:17, 20:19,	Welcome [1] - 28:2
seal [1] - 36:21	23:12, 23:14, 25:15,	15:9, 15:11, 15:12,	20:22, 32:5, 33:16	WEST [1] - 2:4
second [4] - 6:17,	26:3	24:18, 31:10, 34:17	typical [2] - 4:13,	WESTLAWN[1] -
10:10, 12:1, 13:23	States [2] - 14:13,	ten [1] - 34:23	4:14	2:10
secondly [1] - 16:19	30:2	TENNESSEE [3] -	4.14	whatsoever [1] -
Security [1] - 14:22		1:2, 3:1, 36:2	11	23:11
• • •	statute [4] - 5:4,	Tennessee [16] - 4:5,	U	WHEREOF [1] -
see [5] - 4:3, 19:2,	13:9, 16:13, 17:18		uncontected (2)	36:20
19:3, 20:2, 26:19	statutes [1] - 12:25	4:10, 4:19, 6:4, 11:12,	uncontested [3] -	
seeking [1] - 4:16	statutory [5] - 11:19,	11:19, 11:20, 12:4,	5:13, 10:16, 28:19	White [4] - 33:14,
sell [2] - 9:1, 24:16	12:4, 12:8, 12:11,	14:11, 16:14, 17:19,	uncontestedly [1] -	33:19, 34:3, 34:9
send [1] - 34:25	16:15	17:20, 27:16, 31:6,	16:18	whole [1] - 8:4
sense [1] - 8:3	step [10] - 4:21, 5:18,	32:15, 36:16	Under [1] - 4:19	whopping [1] - 7:15
sentence [1] - 33:18	6:6, 6:9, 9:9, 13:18,	test [1] - 26:4	under [11] - 6:4,	win [4] - 6:22, 14:4,
separate [1] - 16:12	14:3, 14:4, 16:6, 18:9	THE [23] - 1:1, 1:2,	11:20, 13:2, 15:21,	16:6, 16:10
serve [1] - 11:9	STEPHEN [1] - 2:3	3:3, 3:12, 3:22, 4:3,	16:15, 17:17, 31:6,	WITNESS [1] - 36:20
service [3] - 34:1,	Steve [1] - 19:5	10:19, 11:25, 15:9,	32:14, 34:21, 35:2,	world [1] - 21:24
34:5, 34:13	still [1] - 13:15	15:12, 16:23, 18:20,	35:4	worried [1] - 25:8
serving [1] - 11:12	stop [1] - 19:14	19:1, 20:17, 21:14,	uniformly [1] - 14:24	written [1] - 35:6
set [1] - 36:20	strange [2] - 22:25,	25:8, 25:12, 27:8,	United [2] - 14:13,	WYATT [1] - 3:4
sharp [1] - 30:9	31:1	33:12, 34:2, 34:7,	30:2	
shifts [1] - 6:7	strangely [1] - 22:21	34:18, 35:15	unless [1] - 26:10	Y
shut [1] - 12:1	strategic [1] - 19:12	themselves [2] - 9:6,	unpleasantly [1] -	· ·
side [1] - 25:12	strength [1] - 26:5	32:5	30:9	years [1] - 31:6
	subjective [1] - 20.0	third [2] - 14:3, 14:6	unusual [3] - 4:12,	York [1] - 30:4
silence [1] - 19:18 similar [3] - 14:18,	subjective[1] - 0.11 subjectively[1] - 9:6	three [7] - 4:21, 4:23,	22:25, 31:1	
	• • • • •	8:18, 16:6, 20:18,	up [5] - 4:23, 8:12,	
14:19, 33:25	submit [4] - 22:14,	20:20, 33:17	24:19, 29:18, 35:7	
simply [12] - 7:16,	23:6, 24:10, 27:2	three-step [1] - 4:21	upkeep [1] - 31:11	
8:11, 8:12, 9:19,	submitted [2] -	thrown [1] - 26:18	useful [1] - 11:6	
11:17, 12:20, 13:15,	27:20, 28:18	Tina [1] - 3:14	uses [1] - 33:24	
15:25, 19:14, 21:25,	subscription [2] -			
22:6, 30:10	34:5, 34:13	tINA [1] - 1:8	utilized [2] - 33:14,	
single [5] - 4:7,	substantiated [1] -	TN [3] - 1:23, 2:5,	33:19	
14:24, 21:11, 31:21,	7:21	2:11	17	1
33:1	substantiating [1] -	today [4] - 4:21,	V	
sitting [1] - 4:2	26:16	6:23, 18:6, 21:13	volid (c) 44.0 46.7	1
skills [1] - 36:9	successfully [1] -	tolerate [1] - 30:6	valid [5] - 14:8, 16:7,	
SLAPP [3] - 16:13,	31:15	Tonya [1] - 3:13	18:14, 32:25, 33:6	