IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE, AT NASHVILLE

Ludye N. Wallace,	§	
	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Appellate Case No:
	§	
Metropolitan Government of	§	
Nashville and Davidson County, and	§	Chancery Court No. 18-0254-I
	§	
Davidson County Election Commission,		Chancellor Claudia Bonnyman
	§	
Respondents, and	§	
	§	
David Hiland,	§	
	§	
Proposed Intervenor-Petitioner.	§	

PETITIONER WALLACE'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ASSUME JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO TENNESSEE SUPREME COURT RULE 48 AND TENN. CODE ANN. § 16-3-201(d)

Comes now Petitioner Ludye Wallace, by and through undersigned counsel, and respectfully moves this Court to assume jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d). As grounds for this Motion, Mr. Wallace respectfully states as follows:

A. Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48(a)(1) Statement

(i) Questions Presented for Review

This case involves a pure question of law regarding a matter of unusual public importance: When the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County—Tennessee's capital city and most populous municipality—must hold an election to fill a vacancy in the office of Mayor. Even more significantly, this case seeks to determine

whether the citizens of Nashville are entitled to have their government faithfully adhere to the text of a Charter provision that they collectively enacted with overwhelming support by popular referendum.

(ii) Statement of the Relevant Facts

It is undisputed that in August of 2007, the Respondents placed a proposed amendment to the Metro Charter on the ballot for approval by popular referendum.¹ In pertinent part, the Respondents summarized that proposed Charter amendment as follows: "This amendment would require that a special election be held to fill a vacancy in the office of mayor... whenever more than twelve (12) months remain in the unexpired term."² The amendment was overwhelmingly approved by the voters, and it is now codified at Metro Charter § 15.03.³

It is similarly undisputed that on March 6, 2018, former Mayor Megan Barry resigned from her position as Mayor.⁴ Given that her term was not set to expire for approximately eighteen (18) months at the time of her resignation, there is no doubt that there were more than twelve months remaining in her unexpired term. Accordingly, in keeping with both the above-described Charter amendment and the straightforward text of Metro Charter § 15.01,⁵ the Petitioner contends that a special election is required. Of note, if a special election is indeed required, all Parties also agree that the election must

¹ See Attachment A-1 (alterations added for emphasis).

² *Id*.

³ See Attachment A-2.

⁴ Joey Garrison, Nate Rau and Anita Wadhwani, *Nashville Mayor Megan Barry resigns from office as part of guilty plea to felony theft charge*, THE TENNESSEAN (Mar. 6, 2018), https://www.tennessean.com/story/news/2018/03/06/nashville-mayor-megan-barry-expected-resign-press-conference-tuesday-morning/398440002/.

⁵ See Attachment A-3.

be held on either May 1, 2018 or between May 20, 2018 and May 25, 2018, and that holding an election on these dates still remains feasible as of the filing of this motion.

Taking an opposing view, the Respondents have declined to hold a special election to fill the vacancy created by former Mayor Barry's resignation. In defense of that position, the Respondents contend, *inter alia*, that the ballot summary of the Charter amendment that was approved by the voters was *inaccurate*. As such, they argue, it can properly be rejected, and they are not bound to follow it. *But see* Attachment A-4 ("Section 19.01 . . . requires to be set forth in the adoption resolution a brief description of each amendment so **worded so as to convey the meaning of said amendment**") (emphasis added). Thus, based on their acknowledged disregard of the ballot summary of the above-described Charter amendment—and based further on what the Petitioner contends is an erroneous reading of this Court's opinion in *State ex rel. Wise v. Judd*, 655 S.W.2d 952 (Tenn. 1983)—the Respondents have set Nashville's upcoming Mayoral election for August 2, 2018.

In an order issued by the Davidson County Chancery Court on March 14, 2018, the Chancellor issued an Order affirming the Respondents' position and dismissed the Petitioner's petition. That Order is the subject of this appeal.⁶

(iii) Petitioner's Need for an Expedited Decision

In case after case, this Court has determined that the proper interpretation of the Metro Charter is sufficiently important to merit this Court's review. *See, e.g., The Metro. Gov't of Nashville v. The Bd. of Zoning Appeals of Nashville*, 477 S.W.3d 750 (Tenn. 2015); *Renteria-Villegas v. Metro. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Cty.*, 382 S.W.3d 318

 $^{^6}$ Pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48(a)(2), the Trial Court's bench ruling is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

(Tenn. 2012); Gray's Disposal Co. v. Metro. Gov't of Nashville, 318 S.W.3d 342 (Tenn. 2010); Amos v. Metro. Gov't Of Nashville And Davidson Cty., 259 S.W.3d 705 (Tenn. 2008); State ex rel. Metro. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Cty. v. Spicewood Creek Watershed Dist., 848 S.W.2d 60 (Tenn. 1993); State ex rel. Wise v. Judd, 655 S.W.2d 952 (Tenn. 1983); Metro. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Cty. v. Allen, 529 S.W.2d 699 (Tenn. 1975); Winter v. Allen, 212 Tenn. 84, 367 S.W.2d 785 (1963).

This case seeks to determine both when the election for Nashville's most important office must be held and whether the text of a popularly-enacted Charter amendment must be respected. Accordingly, the Charter provision at issue here is arguably more important than any of the provisions that this Court has previously reviewed in the above-cited cases. Critically, however, unless this Court assumes jurisdiction over this case immediately, review in this Court will be impossible. Specifically, given that a May 2018 election will be required if the Petitioner's position prevails, this Court's emergency review of the instant case is essential; without it, the relief that the Petitioner seeks will become infeasible, and this action will become moot long before this Court can adjudicate it under Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 11.

B. Statement of the Reasons for Assuming Jurisdiction

i. This Court's "reach-down" jurisdiction under Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(2)(B).

Under limited circumstances, the General Assembly has vested this Court with authority to "reach down" and assume jurisdiction over an undecided case in which a notice of appeal has been filed.⁷ *See* Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(1) ("The supreme court may, upon the motion of any party, assume jurisdiction over an undecided case in

⁷ A copy of the Petitioner's Notice of Appeal, filed in the Court of Appeals, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

which a notice of appeal or an application for interlocutory or extraordinary appeal is filed before any intermediate state appellate court."). The exercise of such jurisdiction is limited to "cases of unusual public importance in which there is a special need for expedited decision and that involve:

(A) State taxes:

(B) The right to hold or retain public office; or

(C) Issues of constitutional law."

Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(2) (emphasis added).

Given its subject matter, this case qualifies for the exercise of this Court's reachdown jurisdiction under Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(2)(B). The subject matter of this case is unusually important and involves the right to hold or retain public office. *See id.* Here, the Petitioner submits that he has a right to stand for election and to hold public office beginning in May 2018, while the Respondents contend that he must wait until August of 2018. Similarly, this case seeks to determine whether—based on a Charter amendment enacted with overwhelming support by popular referendum—Nashville's acting Mayor may lawfully retain office after May 2018, or whether a special election to fill the vacancy must instead be held immediately. *Id.*

ii. This Court's "Reach Down" Jurisdiction under Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(3).

In addition to the specified subject matter set forth in Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(2), Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d)(3) provides further that:

The supreme court may, upon its own motion, when there is a compelling public interest, assume jurisdiction over an undecided case in which a notice of appeal or an application for interlocutory or extraordinary appeal is filed with an intermediate state appellate court.

Id.

For the reasons previously advanced, this case seeks to vindicate a compelling public interest. Further, the Respondents' position in this case does violence to a longstanding Metro public policy that ensures that local elections are not contaminated by state or federal partisan influences. By design, the Metro Charter prevents Mayoral elections from being held on the same dates as partisan state or federal elections. *See id.* By unlawfully setting Nashville's Mayoral election for August 2, 2018, however, the Respondents have placed Nashville's Mayoral election on the same ballot as partisan primaries for U.S. Senator, U.S. Congressman, Governor, State Senator, and State Representative.

Never in Metro's history has its election for Mayor been scheduled alongside partisan federal and state primary contests. The Petitioner also submits that Nashville's longstanding and consistently reaffirmed public policy against state or federal partisan influence *prohibits* this outcome, and that the Metro Charter was deliberately designed to prevent it. *Id.* Accordingly, the exercise of this Court's reach-down jurisdiction is appropriate under Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d) (3) as well.

C. Statement of the Relief Sought

Pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48(a)(1)(iv), the Petitioner seeks to have this Court **REVERSE** the Trial Court's Order dismissing his petition, and to have this Court **REMAND** this action to the Trial Court with instructions to mandate that the Respondents hold a special election to fill the vacancy in the office of Mayor on either May 1, 2018 or between May 20, 2018 and May 24, 2018.

⁸ See Attachment A-5.

Given that this case is subject to *de novo* review and has already been fully briefed and argued by the Parties in the proceedings below, and given further that attorneys for both Parties have previously briefed the merits of this action in *Hamilton v. Metro. Gov't of Nashville*, No. M2016-00446-COA-R3-CV, 2016 WL 6248026 (Tenn. Ct. App. Oct. 25, 2016), the Petitioner also moves this Court to exercise its discretion to expedite briefing and decision of this matter pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48(d).

D. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should assume immediate jurisdiction over this case pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-3-201(d). Pursuant to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 48(a)(4), the original and six (6) paper copies of this motion and supporting papers have been filed with the clerk of the appellate court, and the clerk has been furnished with a .pdf copy of this filing by email.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Daniel A. Horwitz, BPR #032176 1803 Broadway, Suite #531 Nashville, TN 37203 daniel.a.horwitz@gmail.com

(615) 739-2888

Jamie R. Hollin, BPR No. 025460 511 Rosebank Avenue Nashville, TN 37206 Tel. 615-870- 4650 j.hollin@me.com

Counsel for Petitioner Ludye Wallace

⁹ *Hamilton* was resolved on standing grounds only and did not reach the merits of the action. *See Hamilton*, 2016 WL 6248026, at *4 ("Having determined that Mr. Hamilton has failed to plead facts sufficient to establish standing, we pretermit the remaining issues. In the absence of standing, the trial court properly dismissed Mr. Hamilton's petition.").

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of March, 2018, a copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, and/or transmitted by e-mail to the following:

Lora Barkenbus Fox Catherine J. Pham 108 Metropolitan Courthous P.O. Box 196300 Nashville, TN 37219 lora.fox@nashville.gov Cate.Pham@nashville.gov

Counsel for Respondents

Ben Gastel Branstetter, Stranch & Jennings, PLLC The Freedom Center 223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200 Nashville, TN 37203 bgastel@branstetterlaw.com

Counsel for David Hiland

By:

Daniel A. Horwitz, BPR #032176 1803 Broadway, Suite #531 Nashville, TN 37203 daniel.a.horwitz@gmail.com (615) 739-2888

Jamie R. Hollin, BPR No. 025460 511 Rosebank Avenue Nashville, TN 37206 Tel. 615-870- 4650 j.hollin@me.com

Attachments A1-A5

8-02-07

8-02-07

METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY GENERAL ELECTION AUGUST 2, 2007

AMENDMENT NO. 1

SUMMARY

This amendment would require that a special election be held to fill a vacancy in the office of mayor and a vacancy in the office of district councilmember whenever more than twelve (12) months remain in the unexpired term.

Amendment No.1

Section 15.03 of Article 15 of the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County shall be amended by deleting the provisions of such section in their entirety and substituting in lieu thereof the following new provisions: "Section 15.03 Special elections. There shall be held a special metropolitan election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term in the office of mayor and in the office of district councilmember whenever such vacancy shall exist more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of the next general metropolitan election. The special election shall be ordered by the county commissioners of elections and they shall give notice thereof as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated, section 2-14-105. When a vacancy exists in the office of vice mayor or in the office of councilmember-at-large, said office shall remain vacant until the next general election at which time such vacancy shall be filled; however, in no event shall a special election be held to fill such vacancy. If in such special election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term of the office of mayor or district councilmember, or in the general election at which time a vacancy in the office of vice mayor or councilmember-at-large,no candidate shall receive a majority of all the votes cast for such office,a runoff election shall be held five (5) weeks subsequent to the first special election to fill a vacancy in accordance with the provisions hereinbefore set forth in the case of a general metropolitan election. The provisions of section 15.01 hereof with respect to voting in general metropolitan elections and with respect to qualifying as a candidate shall apply to special elections and to general elections at which time a vacancy is filled."



Yes, For Ratification

No, Against Ratification

ALL REGISTERED VOTERS OF DAVIDSON COUNTY WHO HAVE MOVED WITHIN THE COUNTY OR HAD A NAME CHANGE ARE ENCOURAGED TO MAKE THESE CHANGES BY NOTIFYING THE DAVIDSON COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. VOTERS WHO FAIL TO DO SO PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY WILL EXPERIENCE A DELAY IN VOTING.

1 / 3 Next

Page 2

METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY **GENERAL ELECTION** AUGUST 2, 2007 **COUNCIL AT LARGE** JERRY MAYNARD VOTE FOR FIVE(5) **BRADY BANKS** J. GOWER MILLS **MEGAN BARRY** DAVE PELTON **LUTHER BECKETT** STEVE REITER **KEN BERRYHILL TONY ROBERTS** JIM BOYD **RONNIE STEINE LUVENIA HARRISON BUTLER** JOHN SUMMERS JON DAVIDSON JAMES C. TURNER, II RICHARD EXTON **CHARLIE TYGARD** TIM GARRETT MAURICE "MOE" WALKER **RONNIE GREER SR.** PETER WESTERHOLM SALETTA A. HOLLOWAY Write-In PHILIP HOSTETTLER Write-In MICHAEL V. KERSTETTER Write-In J.B. LORING Write-In JAMES (JIM) A. MAXWELL Write-In Contest Continued Next Column Back 2 Next

Page 3

Sec. 15.03. - Special elections.

There shall be held a special metropolitan election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term in the office of mayor and in the office of district council member whenever such vacancy shall exist more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of the next general metropolitan election. The special election shall be ordered by the county commissioners of elections and they shall give notice thereof as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated section 2-14-105. When a vacancy exists in the office of vice mayor or in the office of councilmember-at-large, said office shall remain vacant until the next general election at which time such vacancy shall be filled; however, in no event shall a special election be held to fill such vacancy. If in such special election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term of the office of mayor or district council member, or in the general election at which time a vacancy in the office of vice mayor or councilmember-at-large, no candidate shall receive a majority of all the votes cast for such office, a runoff election shall be held five (5) weeks subsequent to the first special election to fill a vacancy in accordance with the provisions hereinbefore set forth in the case of a general metropolitan election. The provisions of section 15.01 hereof with respect to voting in general metropolitan elections and with respect to qualifying as a candidate shall apply to special elections and to general elections at which time a vacancy is filled.

(Amended by Res. No. 65-670, § 1, 7-6-65; Res. No. 88-526, § 28, 10-4-88; amended by referendum petition approved August 2, 2007)

Editor's note— In addition to the legislation given in the history note, § 15.03 was amended in its entirety by virtue of a referendum petition approved November 8, 1994, and amended again at a referendum election held November 5, 1996.

Sec. 15.01. - When general metropolitan elections held; who may vote; qualifications of candidates.

For the purpose of electing a mayor, vice-mayor, five (5) councilmen-at-large and thirty-five (35) district councilmen, there shall be held on the first Thursday in April, 1966, and on the first Thursday in August of 1971, and each four (4) years thereafter, a general metropolitan election. At such general election each voter shall be entitled to vote for one (1) candidate for mayor, one (1) candidate for vice-mayor, five (5) candidates for councilmen-at-large, and one (1) candidate for district councilman from the district wherein the voter resides; and the names of all qualified candidates shall be so placed on the ballot or voting machine as to accord the voter such right. All persons who are lawfully registered and who are qualified to vote for members of the general assembly of the State of Tennessee shall be qualified to vote in the metropolitan elections. The name of any candidate shall be included on the ballot or the voting machines when a written petition signed by at least twenty-five (25) qualified voters shall so request and when said petition shall be filed with the county commissioners of election at least within the number of days prior to the election provided by general law for the filing of qualifying petitions of candidates for election to county offices.

(Amended by Res. No. 65-670, § 1, 7-6-65; Res. No. 66-1053, § 1, 8-16-66)

Note— See Tenn. Const. ART. VII, SECT. 5:

Sec. 5. Civil officers—Election—Vacancies.—

Elections for Judicial and other civil officers shall be held on the first Thursday in August, one thousand eight hundred and seventy, and forever thereafter on the first Thursday in August next preceding the expiration of their respective terms of service. The term of each officer so elected shall be computed from the first day of September next succeeding his election. The term of office of the Governor and of other executive officers shall be computed from the fifteenth of January next after the election of the Governor. No appointment or election to fill a vacancy shall be made for a period extending beyond the unexpired term. Every officer shall hold his office until his successor is elected or appointed, and qualified. No special election shall be held to fill a vacancy in the office of Judge or District Attorney, but at the time herein fixed for the biennial election of civil officers; and such vacancy shall be filled at the next Biennial election recurring more than thirty days after the vacancy occurs.

RESOLUTION NO. RS2007-1846 3/11/18, 7:56 PM

RESOLUTION NO. RS2007-1846

A resolution providing amendments to the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee, in accordance with Article 19, Section 19.01, and setting forth a brief description of each amendment to be placed on the ballot.

WHEREAS, Article 19.01 of the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee, provides that the Metropolitan Government shall not adopt a resolution proposing amendments to the Charter more often than twice during the term of office of members of the Metropolitan Council; and

WHEREAS, Article 19, Section 19.01, also requires to be set forth in the adoption resolution a brief description of each amendment so worded so as to convey the meaning of said amendment; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Metropolitan Council by adopting this resolution to fulfill these two Charter requirements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19.01 of the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, the proposed amendments to the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, attached hereto, are submitted to the people for approval in the manner provided by Section 19.01 of the Charter.

Section 2. The date prescribed for holding of the referendum election at which the electorate of the Metropolitan Government will vote to ratify or reject the amendments proposed in Section 1 of this Resolution shall be August 2, 2007.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect from and after its adoption, the welfare of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County requiring it.

Sponsored by: Randy Foster

Amendment	No.	
Allichaniche		

Section 15.03 of Article 15 of the Charter of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County shall be amended by deleting the provisions of such section in their entirety and substituting in lieu thereof the following new provisions:

"Sec. 15.03. Special elections.

There shall be held a special metropolitan election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term in the office of mayor and in the office of district councilmember whenever such vacancy shall exist more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of the next general metropolitan election. The special election shall be ordered by the county commissioners of elections and they shall give notice thereof as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated, section 2-14-105. When a vacancy exists in the office of vice mayor or in the office of councilmember-at-large, said office shall remain vacant until the next general election at which time such vacancy shall be filled; however, in no event shall a special election be held to fill such vacancy. If in such special election to fill a vacancy for the unexpired term of the office of mayor or district councilmember, or in the general election at which time a vacancy in the office of vice mayor or councilmember-at-large, no candidate shall receive a majority of all the votes cast for such office, a runoff election shall be held five (5) weeks subsequent to the first special election to fill a vacancy in accordance with the provisions hereinbefore set forth in the case of a general metropolitan election. The provisions of section 15.01 hereof with respect to voting in general metropolitan elections and with respect to qualifying as a

RESOLUTION NO. RS2007-1846 3/11/18, 7:56 PM

candidate shall apply to special elections and to general elections at which time a vacancy is filled."

FOR THE BALLOT

Amen	dment	No.	

This amendment would require that a special election be held to fill a vacancy in the office of mayor and a vacancy in the office of district councilmember whenever more than twelve (12) months remain in the unexpired term.

Sponsored by: Randy Foster

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY		
	Charter Revision Commission Charter Revision Committee	
Introduced:	April 3, 2007	
Deferred to June 5, 2007:	April 3, 2007	
Approved:	June 5, 2007	
Mayor's approval not required - Metro Charter Sec. 19.01:		

RESOLUTION NO. RS2011-1607 3/11/18, 7:55 PM

RESOLUTION NO. RS2011-1607

A resolution opposing any state legislation that would change the Metropolitan Mayor, Vice Mayor, and/or Council terms of office to coincide with November partisan elections.

WHEREAS, the founders of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County chose to make local elected offices non-partisan; and

WHEREAS, the offices of Metropolitan Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council have been non-partisan since the Charter became effective in 1963; and

WHEREAS, Section 15.01 of the Metropolitan Charter provides that the election for these offices is to be held on the first Thursday of the month every four years from and after 1971; and

WHEREAS, legislation is currently pending in the Tennessee General Assembly that would attempt to override the Metropolitan Charter and require the elections for the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Members of Council to be held at the November Presidential elections; and

WHEREAS, having Metropolitan elections coincide with partisan Presidential elections would negatively impact the democratic process and destroy the purpose of having a non-partisan elected body; and

WHEREAS, local governments in Tennessee should have the freedom and authority to make decisions that are solely applicable to the Metropolitan Government without state interference; and

WHEREAS, the stated purpose of the pending state legislation is to address the problems associated with having the redistricting process in the same year as Council elections; and

WHEREAS, if the citizens of the Metropolitan Government desire to have the Metropolitan elections coincide with November partisan elections, the Metropolitan Charter includes a mechanism for amending the Charter to provide for such on a local level

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY:

Section 1. That the Metropolitan County Council hereby goes on record as opposing any state legislation that would change the Metropolitan Mayor, Vice Mayor, and/or Council terms of office to coincide with November partisan elections.

Section 2. The Metropolitan Vice Mayor is requested to charge the Council Charter Revision Committee with examining the need to amend the Metropolitan Charter to address the concerns associated with the consideration of new Council districts in an election year.

Section 3. The Metropolitan Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Resolution to each member of the Davidson County Delegation to the Tennessee General Assembly.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect from and after its adoption, the welfare of The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County requiring it.

Sponsored by: Jerry Maynard, Ronnie Steine, Megan Barry, Bo Mitchell, Lonnell Matthews, Mike Jameson, Erica Gilmore, Sam Coleman, Greg Adkins, Walter Hunt, Frank Harrison, Sandra Moore, Buddy Baker, Edith Taylor Langster, Parker Toler, Darren Jernigan, Jamie Hollin, Rip Ryman, Anna Page, Erik Cole, Sean McGuire, Tim Garrett, Jim Forkum, Emily Evans, Carter Todd, Randy Foster, Bruce Stanley, Kristine LaLonde, Vivian Wilhoite, Jason Holleman

RESOLUTION NO. RS2011-1607 3/11/18, 7:55 PM

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY	
Referred:	Rules & Confirmations Committee
Introduced:	April 12, 2011
Deferred:	April 12, 2011
Adopted:	April 19, 2011 - Roll Call Vote
Approved:	April 21, 2011
By:	Kal F. Der

Exhibit A

WALLACE

VS.

METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY, et al.

JUDGE'S RULING March 14, 2018



Celebrating 26 Years of Reporting Excellence!

Sarah N. Linder, LCR, CCR Senior Managing Reporter

Chattanooga (423)266-2332 Jackson (731)425-1222 Knoxville (865)329-9919 Nashville (615)595-0073 Memphis (901)522-4477 www.elitereportingservices.com

Petitioner, vs. Case No. 18-254-I METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY and DAVIDSON COUNTY ELECTION	
vs. Case No. 18-254-I METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY	
METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY	
NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY	
NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY	
and DAVIDSON COUNTY ELECTION	
COMMISSION,	
Respondents,	
and	
DAVID HILAND,	
Proposed Intervenor-Petitioner.	
JUDGE'S RULING	
BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-captio came on for hearing, on this, the 14th day of March,	ned caus
2018 before Chancellor Claudia Bonnyman, when and whe	re the
following proceedings were had, to wit:	
Elite Reporting Services	
Elite Reporting Services www.elitereportingservices.com	
www.elitereportingservices.com Sarah N. Linder, LCR, Senior Managing Associat	e
www.elitereportingservices.com	e

1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	For the Petitioner:
4	MR. JAMIE R. HOLLIN
5	Attorney at Law 511 Rosebank Avenue
6	Nashville, TN 37206 (615)870-4650
7	J.hollin@icloud.com
8	MR. DANIEL E. HORWITZ Attorney at Law
9	Law Office of Daniel A. Horwitz
	1803 Broadway, Suite 531 Nashville, TN 37203
10	(615)739-2888 Daniel.a.horwitz@gmail.com
11	
12	For the Proposed Intervenor-Petitioner:
13	MR. BENJAMIN A. GASTEL Attorney at Law
14	Branstetter, Stranch & Jennings, PLLC The Freedom Center
15	223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200
16	Nashville, TN 37203 (615)254-8801
17	Beng@bsjfirm.com
18	For the Respondents:
19	MS. LORA BARKENBUS FOX
20	Metropolitan Attorney Metropolitan Government of Nashville and
21	Davidson County 204 Metropolitan Courthouse
22	P.O. Box 196300 Nashville, TN 37219
	(615)862-6380
23	Lora.fox@nashville.gov
24	
25	

1

PROCEEDINGS

(WHEREUPON, additional matters were heard by the Court; after which, the Court's ruling was excerpted as follows:)

6

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Please be seated.

All right. Lawyers, and parties, and citizens, the Court is going to dictate a bench ruling. A bench ruling is more informal than a memorandum of law that you have seen and read, or an opinion written by the Court of Appeals or our Supreme Court. It is, however, necessary in this case because we have expedited hearings and an expedited issue; an issue that needs to solved

very -- resolved and solved very quickly.

And I notice that the lawyers -- all the lawyers have done a really good job in the case, and they've probably done their best under the time pressure. This Court's also gonna try to do its best under the time pressure knowing that we are all oriented toward reaching an accurate decision and a just decision in compliance with State law, and Metro law, and our rule of law.

This is a bench ruling in Wallace versus

15:36:16

16:01:58

16:02:01

16:02:05

16:02:08

16:02:12

16:02:16

16:02:18

16:02:24

16:02:29

16:02:33

16:02:34

16:02:36

16:02:40

16:02:44

16:02:46

16:02:50

16:02:53

16:02:58

16:03:01

1 Metropolitan Government and Davidson County Election 16:03:06 2 Commission, and it is a ruling in a companion case, a 16:03:08 3 separate case, Mr. Hiland versus Metropolitan 16:03:12 16:03:14 4 Government and the Davidson County Election 5 Commission. 16:03:15 The petitioners seek certiorari review to 16:03:16 6 7 reverse the decision of the Davidson County Election 16:03:19 8 Commission that the vacancy in the office of mayor 16:03:22 9 will be voted upon and filled at the August 2018 16:03:25 10 election. 16:03:29 11 Further, the petitioners seek a writ of 16:03:31 12 mandamus compelling the Commission to set a special 16:03:33 13 election to fill the mayoral vacancy on a specific 16:03:37 day in May of 2018. 14 16:03:40 15 Because the question under both causes of 16:03:43 16:03:46 16 actions and both lawsuits is a question of law and 17 the same question of law, the Court does not address 16:03:49 whether these two causes of action may be combined in 18 16:03:52 19 one complaint given that mandamus is a matter of 16:03:57 20 original jurisdiction, and certiorari is judicial 16:04:00 2.1 review of the Commission action relying on the record 16:04:04 In this decision, the Court refers to the 2.2 16:04:08 below. 23 respondents collectively as the Commission. 16:04:11 24 Both Mr. Wallace and Mr. Hiland, the 16:04:13 25 petitioners, requested an expedited hearing on the 16:04:14

merits. Mr. Hiland also seeks injunctive relief at this hearing. The Commission's motion to dismiss will serve as its response to injunctive relief, along with the two affidavits from the Commission setting forth the 2018 Davidson County election calendar.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

And as for the issues in the case, the petitioners state that the Davidson County Election Commission erroneously voted to hold the election to fill the vacancy for the office of mayor in August 2018. The petitioners contend that the Metropolitan Charter, Article 15, Section 15.01 and 15.03 require a special election to fill that vacancy in May 2018. The petitioners assert that the term general metropolitan election is defined in the Charter at Section 15.01 as the election when the offices of mayor, vice mayor, five council-members-at-large, and thirty five district council members are on the ballot.

The petitioners claim that the general metropolitan election is held every four years and the next general metropolitan election cannot occur until August of 2019. The petitioners assert that the term general metropolitan election includes only the first Thursday in August for the years 1971,

16:04:19

16:04:22

16:04:25

16:04:30

16:04:32

16:04:32

16:04:37

16:04:39

16:04:42

16:04:45

16:04:48

16:04:52

16:04:58

16:05:03

16:05:08

16:05:10

16:05:15

16:05:18

16:05:20

16:05:21

16:05:26

16:05:28

16:05:33

16:05:35

16:05:39

1975, 1979, 1983, 1987, 1999 -- 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007, 2011, 2015, and 2019. That is every four years. At that August 2019 election, say the petitioners, all of the aforementioned offices including the mayor will be on the ballot, should be on the ballot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

According to the petitioners, because the August 2019 general metropolitan election is more than 12 months from the date of the mayoral vacancy, Section 15.03 mandates a special election. The petitioners' reason that the Commission's choice of the August 2018 election to fill the vacancy of mayor will place that vacancy on the ballot with a state partisan primary and a federal partisan primary contrary to the longstanding policy that Metro elections for mayor, the council, and vice mayor are nonpartisan. The petitioners assert this nonpartisan policy was affirmed by the Metro Council in its RS2011-1607 resolution setting out the nonpartisan nature of its offices of mayor, vice mayor, and the council.

The petitioners explained that the term of office for the mayor expire s in August 2019, the same month and year of the next general metropolitan election. The petitioners argue that there is no

16:05:44

16:05:56

16:06:05

16:06:09

16:06:13

16:06:15

16:06:16

16:06:17

16:06:21

16:06:25

16:06:29

16:06:32

16:06:36

16:06:39

16:06:43

16:06:47

16:06:50

16:06:53

16:06:56

16:07:02

16:07:05

16:07:05

16:07:07

16:07:11

16:07:15

general metropolitan election scheduled within the 16:07:18 next 12 months and, therefore, a special election 16:07:21 must be held in May given TCA Section 2-14-102. 16:07:24 petitioners point to the summary language on the 16:07:30 referendum ballot for what is now Section 15.03 of 16:07:33 the Metropolitan Charter in support of the 16:07:37 allegations in their petition. 16:07:39 Now, as for the contentions of the 16:07:41 Commission, the Commission moves to dismiss both 16:07:43 petitions. According to the Commission, the August 16:07:45 2nd, 2018 election is a general metropolitan election 16:07:48 and the vacancy in the office of mayor must appear on 16:07:53 that August 2018 ballot. 16:07:56 In response to the petition for mandamus, 16:07:58 the Commission shows that the May 1, 2018 ballot 16:08:00 includes the transit referendum and primary elections 16:08:04 for a number of Metro offices. The Commission also 16:08:09 responds that the August 2nd, 2018 ballot includes 16:08:11 the general election of the Metro offices on the May 16:08:15 ballot after the primaries. The Commission explains 16:08:18 that the August 2018 ballot will also include the 16:08:22 16:08:26 primary elections for State representatives and for 16:08:30 Congress. The Commission states that the trial 16:08:30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

court in Hamilton versus Metropolitan Government

16:08:32

addressed in this -- addressed this issue when the State judge made an alternative ruling in case the dismissal based on standing was reversed that a general metropolitan election is one in which Metro has an office on the ballot for general election and is not limited to those elections where the mayor, vice mayor, thirty five council representatives, and five at-large representatives are elected. And that's the end of the quote from the trial judge in that case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Commission reasons that in the Hamilton case, it decided to fill the vacant council seat at the August 4, 2016 election and not at a special election. According to the Commission in the Hamilton case, the August 4, 2016 general election was not the every four year general metropolitan election. Instead says the Commission, a general election as defined in TCA Section 2-1-401(7) is one in which membership in a political party is not required in order to participate as a voter, and says the Commission the general metropolitan election is any election in which a Metropolitan office is filled and membership in a party is not required.

The Commission explains that based upon Section 15.03 of the Charter, a special election is

16:08:37

16:08:39

16:08:43

16:08:46

16:08:48

16:08:51

16:08:55

16:08:57

16:09:00

16:09:03

16:09:04

16:09:06

16:09:10

16:09:14

16:09:16

16:09:20

16:09:24

16:09:26

16:09:32

16:09:35

16:09:38

16:09:42

16:09:45

16:09:47

16:09:50

held to fill a vacancy for the office of mayor and district council members only when there's no general metropolitan election scheduled within the next 12 months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

The Commission also relies on a 1983

Supreme Court decision which affirmed a chancery ruling that general metropolitan elections held on off years such as the August 1982 election in which several Metropolitan offices were on the ballot is a general metropolitan election as that term is used in the Metro Charter.

The Commission relies on Attorney General decision number 82-223 which states that the term general election means for purposes of state law all elections where all can vote but does not include primaries and referenda. In summary, the Commission insists that a general metropolitan election is a broad term not at all limited to the four-year schedule upon which the petitioners rely.

And the issues for this Court to decide are questions of law. Number one -- issue number one: Taking all of the facts in the complaints as true and considering the facts to which the parties stipulate, what is a general metropolitan election as used in the Metro Charter? And issue number two:

16:09:54

16:09:57

16:10:02

16:10:04

16:10:04

16:10:07

16:10:09

16:10:12

16:10:17

16:10:22

16:10:23

16:10:24

16:10:27

16:10:33

16:10:35

16:10:39

16:10:43

16:10:45

16:10:49

16:10:52

16:10:54

16:10:57

16:11:01

16:11:03

16:11:06

1	Given how that term general metropolitan election is	16:11:10
2	used in the Metro Charter, is there a general	16:11:1
3	metropolitan election scheduled within four months	16:11:18
4	after the March 6th, 2018 vacancy in the office of	16:11:19
5	mayor or must there be a special election. Applying	16:11:2
6	the law found to resolve these issues one and two, is	16:11:2
7	Mr. Hiland entitled to injunctive relief, and are the	16:11:3
8	petitioners entitled to an order vacating the	16:11:30
9	Commission decision and setting the election on a	16:11:38
10	date in May 2018 to be effectuated by the Commission?	16:11:4
11	As for a summary of this Court's	16:11:4
12	decision, the Court grants the Commission's motion to	16:11:4
13	dismiss both lawsuits because the vacancy in the	16:11:49
14	office of mayor must be filled at the next general	16:11:52
15	metropolitan election which occurs on August 2nd,	16:11:50
16	2018.	16:11:58
17	And now the Court is moving to the facts	16:12:00
18	stated in the verified petitions for certiorari	16:12:03
19	review, mandamus, and Mr. Hiland's claim for	16:12:0
20	declaratory relief. The Court finds that the facts	16:12:09
21	stated below and in the petitions are true for	16:12:12
22	purposes of the Commission's motion to dismiss. The	16:12:1
23	Court recounts the facts deemed most pertinent for	16:12:19
24	the issues to be determined today.	16:12:23
25	The petitioners are residents of	16:12:24

1 Nashville. Mr. Wallace has qualified as a mayoral 16:12:26 2 candidate with the election to take place in August 16:12:30 3 Mr. Hiland is in the process of becoming 16:12:33 qualified for the same office. The petitioners are 16:12:36 4 being denied the right to appear on a ballot in a 5 16:12:38 special election in May 2018. Both petitioners want 6 16:12:42 7 to limit the months during which they will seek the 16:12:46 8 office in order to reduce their expense and to reduce 16:12:49 9 the demands of a campaign. 16:12:51 10 16:12:53 The former mayor, Megan Barry, resigned 11 from the office of mayor on March 6th, 2018. 16:12:56 12 March 9, 2018, the Commission voted to hold the 16:13:00 13 election to fill the vacancy in the office of mayor 16:13:03 on August 2nd, 2018. 14 16:13:06 15 The parties stipulate that the 2018 16:13:08 16 Davidson County election calendar shows the May 1, 16:13:14 17 2018 ballot includes the transit referendum and 16:13:17 primary elections for a number of Metro offices such 18 16:13:21 19 as the sheriff, the trustee, and the register of 16:13:24 20 The August 2018 ballot consists of the deeds. 16:13:27 21 general election of the same Metro offices on the May 16:13:30 2.2 ballot; that is after the primaries. The August 2018 16:13:33 23 ballot also includes primary election s for some 16:13:37 2.4 State offices and primary elections for Congress. 16:13:40 25 And now as for the principles of law to 16:13:44

be applied in this case that the Court applies in this case, the Court will read the pertinent portions of law and omit sections of the law which are not applicable here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

First, Rule 12, motion to dismiss, in the Rules of Civil Procedure: In considering a motion to dismiss, courts must construe the complaint liberally presuming all factual allegations to be true and giving the plaintiff the benefit of all reasonable inferences. A trial court should grant a motion to dismiss only when it appears that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of the claim that would entitle the plaintiff to relief. The Supreme Court reviews the trial court's legal conclusions regarding the adequacy of the complaint as a new question; that is de novo.

And then as for the application of Rule 12.02(6), failure to state a claim: A Rule 12.02(6) motion to dismiss only seeks to determine whether the pleadings state a claim upon which relief can be granted. When a defendant files a Rule 12.02(6) motion, he or she is challenging the legal sufficiency of the plaintiff's claims, not the evidence presented. This is from Holt versus City of Fayetteville, a non-reported case, at 2016 Westlaw

16:13:49

16:13:51

16:13:55

16:13:58

16:14:02

16:14:05

16:14:08

16:14:12

16:14:15

16:14:19

16:14:21

16:14:24

16:14:28

16:14:31

16:14:34

16:14:37

16:14:39

16:14:42

16:14:48

16:14:51

16:14:55

16:14:58

16:15:00

16:15:03

16:15:06

104-5537, a Tennessee Court of Appeals case decided in 2016.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

A defendant who files a motion to dismiss admits the truth of all the applicable and material allegations contained in the plaintiff's complaint, and the defendant asserts the allegations fail to establish a cause of action. And that is from Brown versus Tennessee Title Loans, a Tennessee Supreme Court case decided in 2010 and reported at 328 S.W.3d 850.

And then from Hargrove versus Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County reported at 154 S.W.3d 565 -- and these are rules for interpreting the Metropolitan Charter written by former Supreme Court Judge Koch. case, that is the Hargrove case, requires the Court to interpret provisions of the Metropolitan Government's Charter and ordinances. The tools for this job consists of the same rules of construction used to interpret state statutes. Our goal is ascertain and to give effect to the ordinance's purpose without unduly restricting it or expanding it beyond its intended scope. The search for an ordinance's purposes begins with the words of the ordinance itself. If the ordinance is unambiguous,

16:15:12

16:15:15

16:15:16

16:15:19

16:15:22

16:15:25

16:15:29

16:15:36

16:15:40

16:15:47

16:15:51

16:15:55

16:15:57

16:16:02

16:16:07

16:16:16

16:16:19

16:16:22

16:16:25

16:16:28

16:16:31

16:16:34

16:16:38

16:16:41

16:16:44

the courts need only enforce it as written. The courts must consider an ordinance as a whole, and in doing so, must give the words in the ordinance their natural and ordinary meaning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

when they construe an ordinance. The court should avoid displacing existing rules and principles any further than the plain meaning of the ordinance expressly declares or necessarily implies. Likewise, courts should avoid questioning the wisdom of the ordinance or substituting their own policy judgments for those of the local legislative bodies -- or body. And this is from Exxon Corporation versus

Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson

County reported at 72 S.W.3d 638, a Tennessee Supreme

Court case decided in 2002. A trial court's decision regarding the interpretation of a statute or ordinance is a question of law.

And as for applying and construing captions for statutes: It is permissible under widely -- widely-held rules of statutory construction to consider headings to statutes for legislative intent and purposes. Where the language in a body of an act is not clear but is unambiguous when read with the caption, the caption will be considered in the

16:16:46

16:16:50

16:16:52

16:16:55

16:16:57

16:17:00

16:17:03

16:17:06

16:17:09

16:17:12

16:17:15

16:17:17

16:17:21

16:17:24

16:17:27

16:17:33

16:17:36

16:17:37

16:18:01

16:18:05

16:18:13

16:18:15

16:18:20

16:18:24

16:18:28

1 construction of the statute. Otherwise, state law 16:18:30 2 indicates that a caption is not a part of the law. 16:18:34 3 The Metropolitan Charter states that in 16:18:41 the event the office of mayor becomes vacant, the 16:18:44 4 5 16:18:47 vice mayor shall serve as mayor and be compensated as such until the vacancy is filled at a special 6 16:18:50 7 election or at a general election as provided in 16:18:53 8 Section 15.03 of this Charter. And this particular 16:18:55 9 charter provision is Section 5.05. 16:19:00 10 Section 15.04 of the Charter states: 16:19:05 The 11 general election laws of the state shall be 16:19:09 12 applicable to all metropolitan elections, except as 16:19:11 13 otherwise provided in this article. 16:19:15 And then at TCA 2-1-104 which is part of 14 16:19:18 15 the election provisions of the State of Tennessee, 16:19:22 16 definitions, Subsection (a)(7): Election means a 16:19:25 17 general election for which membership in a political 16:19:30 18 party in order to participate therein is not 16:19:33 19 required. 16:19:36 20 And Article -- the same statute, Section 16:19:37 21 Primary election means an election held for a 16:19:40 2.2 political party for the purpose of allowing members 16:19:44 23 of that party to select a nominee or nominees to 16:19:46 2.4 appear on the general election ballot. 16:19:50 25 And then also moving on with provisions 16:19:54

1	of the Charter that are pertinent and applicable in	16:19:56
2	this case, Section 15.01: For the purpose of	16:19:59
3	electing a mayor, vice mayor, five	16:20:03
4	councilmen-at-large, and thirty five district	16:20:07
5	councilmen, there shall be held on the first Thursday	16:20:10
6	in April 1966 and on the first Thursday in August of	16:20:13
7	1971, and each four years thereafter a general	16:20:17
8	metropolitan election. At such general election,	16:20:23
9	each voter shall be entitled to vote for one	16:20:24
10	candidate for mayor, one candidate for a vice mayor,	16:20:29
11	five candidates for councilmen-at-large, and one	16:20:31
12	candidate for district councilman from the district	16:20:31
13	where the voter resides; and the names of all	16:20:33
14	qualified candidates shall be so placed on the ballot	16:20:35
15	or voting machine as to accord the voter such right.	16:20:42
16	All persons who are lawfully registered and who are	16:20:46
17	qualified to vote for members of the general assembly	16:20:48
18	of the State of Tennessee shall be qualified to vote	16:20:50
19	in the metropolitan elections. And the caption of	16:20:53
20	that section is when general metropolitan elections	16:20:56
21	held, semicolon, who may vote, semicolon,	16:21:02
22	qualifications of candidates.	16:21:08
23	And then in Section 15.03, special	16:21:08
24	elections: There shall be held a special	16:21:10
25	metropolitan election to fill a vacancy for the	16:21:14

unexpired term in the office of mayor and in the	16:21:16
office of district council member whenever such	16:21:19
vacancy shall exist more than 12 months prior to the	16:21:23
date of the next general metropolitan election. The	16:21:25
special election shall be ordered by the county	16:21:29
commissioners of elections and they shall give notice	16:21:33
thereof as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated	16:21:35
Section 2-14-105. When a vacancy exists in the	16:21:39
office of vice mayor or in the office of	16:21:41
councilmember-at-large, said office shall remain	16:21:43
vacant until the next general election at which time	16:21:48
such vacancy shall be filled; however, in no event	16:21:51
shall a special election be held to fill such	16:21:56
vacancy. If in such special election to fill a	16:21:58
vacancy for the unexpired term of the office of mayor	16:22:00
or district council member, or in the general	16:22:03
election at which the vacancy in the office of vice	16:22:05
mayor or council-member-at-large no candidate shall	16:22:08
receive a majority of all the votes cast for such	16:22:12
office, a run-off election shall be held five weeks	16:22:15
after the first special election to fill a vacancy in	16:22:19
accordance with the provisions herein before set	16:22:22
forth in the case of a general metropolitan election.	16:22:25
And then Section 19.01, amending charter	16:22:27
by regolution of council or netition and nopular	16.22.31

vote: This Charter may be amended subsequent to its 16:22:34 adoption in the following manner: An amendment or 16:22:37 amendments may be proposed, (1), by the adoption of a 16:22:40 resolution by the council favoring the same and 16:22:43 submitting it or them to the people for approval. 16:22:46 The affirmative vote for adoption of such resolution 16:22:47 in the council shall be not less than two-thirds of 16:22:51 the membership to which the council is entitled, and 16:22:53 16:22:57 such resolution when adopted need not be submitted to the mayor for his approval; or (2) upon petition with 16:22:59 the metropolitan clerk signed by 10 percent of the 16:23:05 number of registered voters of Nashville-Davidson 16:23:06 County voting in the preceding general election. 16:23:10 And then from Tennessee opinion of the 16:23:17 Attorney General, Number 82-223: The phrase next 16:23:21 general election refers to any election in which all 16:23:27 registered voters in the city would be eligible to 16:23:30 participate except for referenda and primary 16:23:34 elections. Election is defined at TCA 2-1-104(6), 16:23:38 and that's now (7), as a general election for which 16:23:44 membership in a political party in order to 16:23:45 participate therein is not required. Of course, the 16:23:47 definitions in the election code do not control a 16:23:51

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

question arising from a city charter. In the latter

context, the term general election would certainly

16:23:54

16:23:58

include a municipal election. To argue otherwise would be contrary to common sense. It is the opinion of this office, however, that the phrase general election in the Harriman City Charter should be construed also to include other general elections as defined in TCA 2-1-104(6).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And then from State versus -- State in Wise versus Judd reported at 655 S.W.2nd, page 952, a Tennessee Supreme Court case decided in 1983. this case, the Supreme Court affirmed a decision of the Chancellor as regards to the definition of general election in the Charter. And the decision of the Supreme Court said -- states: The Metropolitan Charter, Section 1901 -- 19.01 requires that a petition for a referendum on a proposed amendment be signed by 10 percent of the number of registered voters of Nashville-Davidson County voting in the preceding general election. The issue is whether this reference is to a preceding metropolitan general election regularly held in August or the previous state general election which occurred in November If the August 1982 or August 1979 Metro 1982. elections are meant, facially the petitions contain the sufficient number of signatures. If the reference is to a state general election held in

16:24:01

16:24:04

16:24:07

16:24:09

16:24:12

16:24:15

16:24:19

16:24:25

16:24:29

16:24:40

16:24:42

16:24:46

16:24:49

16:24:53

16:24:57

16:25:00

16:25:03

16:25:05

16:25:09

16:25:14

16:25:16

16:25:19

16:25:25

16:25:28

16:25:31

November 1982 to which no Metropolitan offices were subject, the number is insufficient.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The Chancellor held that since the subject involved is the amendment of the Metropolitan Charter, the intent of the Charter Commissioners was to refer to the number of votes cast in a Metropolitan election rather than to the number in a state or national election. We agree. The Charter Section 15.01 provides for metropolitan general election and refers to them as such. We think the reference in Section 19.01 under consideration here clearly is to municipal elections.

And going behind that Supreme Court decision is the memorandum issued by -- or entered by Chancellor Kilcrease in this Chancery Court. And he found, reading from his memorandum, which was filed in June of 1983 -- entered in June of 1983: When read in the context of the entire Metropolitan Charter, logic and reason compel the conclusion that the drafters of the Metropolitan Charter in setting a minimum number of voters needed to file a petition to amend a provision of the Metropolitan Charter had in mind the preceding general metropolitan election.

Section 15.01 of the Metropolitan Charter provides for general metropolitan elections and refers to such

16:25:33

16:25:38

16:25:39

16:25:42

16:25:45

16:25:47

16:25:50

16:25:54

16:25:56

16:26:00

16:26:03

16:26:06

16:26:12

16:26:17

16:26:24

16:26:27

16:26:35

16:26:46

16:26:48

16:26:51

16:26:54

16:26:58

16:27:01

16:27:04

16:27:07

elections as general elections. The Court is persuaded to conclude that the preceding general election for purposes of Section 19.01 of the Metro Charter is the preceding general municipal election, and further holds the most recent general municipal election are the August 1982 election and the August 1979 election respectively.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

And in this decision, Chancellor
Kilcrease found that the August metro general
election held in off years are also a general
metropolitan election as that term is used in the
Metro Charter.

And from an alternative ruling issued in State -- State ex rel. John Hamilton versus Metro Government and the Davidson County Election

Commission, the trial court decided that if the Court were to reach the merits -- and in this case, the Hamilton case, the trial court had dismissed the case on the ground of standing; that is the plaintiffs had no standing to bring the case. But she also stated that if the Court were to reach the merits, the Court would rule in favor of the Election Commission's decision to fill the vacant council seat on August 4, 2016, not at a special election in April 2016. Metro Charter 15.03 requires a special election where

16:27:11

16:27:13

16:27:16

16:27:20

16:27:24

16:27:27

16:27:30

16:27:33

16:27:36

16:27:38

16:27:43

16:27:45

16:27:50

16:27:59

16:28:00

16:28:05

16:28:08

16:28:10

16:28:13

16:28:16

16:28:20

16:28:23

16:28:26

16:28:30

16:28:34

there's no general metropolitan election scheduled within the next 12 months. A general metropolitan election is one in which Metro has an office on the ballot for general election and is not limited to those elections where the mayor, vice mayor, thirty five council representatives, and five at-large representatives are elected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

And, of course, this Court is not bound by a decision written by a fellow trial court, but the Court can certainly be persuaded by the reasoning in which that trial court engaged.

And now having -- having discussed and read the principles of law, I'm going back to the issues in the case because that's what we have to decide and justify. Issue number one: Taking all the facts in the complaints as true and considering the facts to which the parties stipulate, what is a general metropolitan election as used in the Metro Charter? And the Court finds here that state law defines general election as an election in which all voters may participate. And most importantly, the Metro Charter definition of metro -- of general metropolitan election means an election where Metro offices are on the ballot and everyone can vote for the Metro office.

16:28:36

16:28:39

16:28:43

16:28:45

16:28:48

16:28:52

16:28:54

16:28:56

16:28:59

16:29:03

16:29:07

16:29:11

16:29:16

16:29:19

16:29:24

16:29:30

16:29:32

16:29:37

16:29:39

16:29:46

16:29:49

16:29:59

16:30:03

16:30:05

16:30:08

And it is unfortunate that there are only two cases in which our courts have construed the Metropolitan Charter as regards how to understand the term general metropolitan election. However, we do have two and they are consistent. They're applied to different issues, but the broader terminology or the broader definition of metropolitan general election was adopted and applied by -- all the courts have specifically looked at the subject.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Tennessee Supreme Court has interpreted the phrase metropolitan general election in Metro Charter 15.01 to mean any election where Metropolitan offices are being elected. The Metropolitan Charter Section 19.01 requires that a petition for a referendum on a proposed amendment be signed by 10 percent of the number of register voters of Nashville-Davidson County voting in the preceding general election. And the issue in that case that the Supreme Court decided was whether the reference was to a preceding metropolitan general election, and was there such a thing, what was it; or the previous the state general election which occurred in November If the August 1982 or August 1979 metropolitan 1982. election meant, facially the petitions contained a sufficient number of signatures.

16:30:11

16:30:17

16:30:23

16:30:29

16:30:33

16:30:37

16:30:41

16:30:46

16:30:49

16:30:52

16:30:54

16:30:58

16:31:01

16:31:06

16:31:10

16:31:13

16:31:16

16:31:19

16:31:22

16:31:24

16:31:28

16:31:31

16:31:33

16:31:39

16:31:41

The Chancellor held that since the subject involved is the amendment of the Metropolitan Charter, the intent of the Charter Commissioners was to refer to the number of votes cast in the metropolitan election rather than to the number in a state or national election. The Charter provides for metropolitan general election and refers to them as such. We think the reference in 19.01 under consideration is to municipal elections; that is a broad meaning of the term general metropolitan elections rather than the narrow term which is touted by and argued by the plaintiffs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

This Court also finds in regard to the memorandum underlying Wise versus Judd that the August 1982 election was not the every four year general election but was the more generic, broad general metropolitan election. Therefore, the Supreme Court has interpreted Chapter 15.01 to mean any election where votes are cast in the metropolitan election.

The Court is also persuaded by the trial court's ruling in Hamilton versus Metro in which the Court held a general metropolitan election is broad, a broad definition; one in which Metro has an office on the ballot office. But most importantly, the

16:31:43

16:31:46

16:31:48

16:31:51

16:31:54

16:31:55

16:31:59

16:32:00

16:32:04

16:32:06

16:32:13

16:32:17

16:32:22

16:32:26

16:32:29

16:32:33

16:32:39

16:32:41

16:32:45

16:32:45

16:32:49

16:32:52

16:32:54

16:32:58

16:33:00

Court also looks, of course, at the language of the Charter provisions Section 15.01 and 15.03.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

As to 15.01 -- first as to 15.01 -- let me find that provision so I can specifically refer to it. In reading the provisions of 15.01, the Court does not see a restrictive definition. The term is used -- the terms used are a general metropolitan election, such general election, the Metropolitan elections. There is no narrow definition for a general metropolitan election and the Court cannot find one. Instead, there are two cases that say otherwise on -- otherwise in terms of applying a broader definition to general metropolitan elections.

And the Court also looks, of course, at Section 15.03 which also states -- which refers more to a special metropolitan election but does refer to the next general metropolitan election. This Court does not see language or terminology in 15.03 which would narrow the definition of next metropolitan election to a specific year. And the council could have done that and -- or the referendum could have done that, and that was not -- that did not occur. And the Court does not find language narrowing or referring to a narrow definition of general metropolitan election. Instead, it appears to be a

16:33:07

16:33:10

16:33:14

16:33:18

16:33:21

16:33:35

16:33:39

16:33:44

16:33:48

16:33:55

16:33:56

16:34:01

16:34:04

16:34:08

16:34:10

16:34:16

16:34:20

16:34:23

16:34:28

16:34:31

16:34:35

16:34:40

16:34:42

16:34:45

16:34:50

broad term, and -- and, therefore, I'm going back then, having found that it's broad terminology and defining the general metropolitan election broadly, or more broadly certainly than the plaintiffs -- than the petitioners use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The second issue is given how that term, general metropolitan election, is used in the Metro Charter, is there a general metropolitan election scheduled within 12 months after the March 6, 2018 vacancy in the office of mayor or must there be a special election? And the Court finds here that the August 2nd, 2018 election falls within the definition of a general metropolitan election. It is an election in which all persons can vote regardless of party, and in which -- so it is a general election and it is an election in which Metropolitan offices will be filled.

Applying the law found to resolve these issues one or two, Mr. Hiland is not entitled to injunctive relief, and the plaintiffs are not entitled -- or the petitioners are not entitled to an order vacating the Commission decision. The petitioners' petitions having been dismissed, the Commission's decision stands. And it appears that the vacancy in the office of the mayor must be filled

16:34:52

16:34:59

16:35:06

16:35:09

16:35:12

16:35:14

16:35:17

16:35:19

16:35:22

16:35:26

16:35:29

16:35:31

16:35:35

16:35:39

16:35:44

16:35:48

16:35:48

16:35:50

16:35:53

16:35:59

16:36:01

16:36:04

16:36:07

16:36:10

16:36:16

1	at the next general Metropolitan election which	16:36:19	
2	occurs on August 2nd, 2018, and that's the Court's	16:36:21	
3	resolution of the declaratory judgment request.		
4	And I I do thank all of the parties	16:36:31	
5	for pressing forward and doing the great job that	16:36:34	
6	everybody did in such a hurry. I think, as I said	16:36:39	
7	before, we're all moving toward the best decision	16:36:42	
8	that can be made given what we have to work with. So	16:36:46	
9	if there any matters	16:36:47	
10	MR. HOLLIN: Yes, Your Honor, I'd like	16:36:49	
11	for permission to take interlocutory appeal pursuant	16:36:51	
12	to Rule 9(a) of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.	16:36:54	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, this is, I	16:36:56	
14	believe, the final order. Don't you think, lawyers?	16:36:58	
15	MS. FOX: Yes, Your Honor. And	16:37:01	
16	THE COURT: So	16:37:02	
17	MS. FOX: I assume they're in a hurry	16:37:03	
18	to appeal	16:37:05	
19	MR. HOLLIN: We are.	16:37:05	
20	MS. FOX: so I'm not sure what you	16:37:05	
21	want to do about the order as far as usually, I	16:37:09	
22	order the transcript and then we would draft	16:37:10	
23	something so I don't know what to do about that.	16:37:12	
24	THE COURT: Well, I would it seems to	16:37:15	
25	me like that's what's called for. Maybe that could	16:37:16	

		i	
1	be done tomorrow.		
2	MS. FOX: Yes.	16:37:19	
3	THE COURT: We're all looking at a really	16:37:20	
4	important person here, our court reporter.	16:37:23	
5	So I of course, I have to stay out of	16:37:24	
6	that. But but I don't respectfully, I'm not		
7	sure you need an interlocutory appeal if I mark		
8	this or make this a final order. And I think it	16:37:33	
9	should be. Do you have any problem with that?	16:37:36	
10	MR. HOLLIN: No.	16:37:38	
11	THE COURT: Okay.	16:37:38	
12	MR. HOLLIN: I'm just trying to somehow	16:37:38	
13	speed up the you know, so that way	16:37:39	
14	THE COURT: Sure.	16:37:39	
15	MR. HOLLIN: we can file in the	16:37:42	
16	morning due to the I think that says about 4:45.	16:37:43	
17	THE COURT: Okay.	16:37:43	
18	MR. HOLLIN: Yeah, the first thing in the	16:37:48	
19	morning.	16:37:49	
20	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you'll have to	16:37:49	
21	have something.	16:37:55	
22	MS. FOX: I think you can go ahead and	16:37:56	
23	file a notice of appeal and then it'd just be	16:37:58	
24	premature until the order's filed. I've had people	16:38:01	
25	do file a little bit early. And I think it	16:38:06	

1	just once the order's filed, then it kicks in.	16:38:08
2	THE COURT: And, of course, that is	16:38:10
3	there is that I know you know this, but there's	16:38:11
4	that expedited process in the appellate rules so	16:38:14
5	that's gonna help you too.	16:38:17
6	And so I wish everybody the best. Thank	16:38:18
7	you. We're now adjourned.	16:38:21
8	COURT CLERK: All rise.	
9	(WHEREUPON, the foregoing proceedings	
10	were concluded at 4:38 p.m.)	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3 STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

I, SARAH N. LINDER, Licensed Court Reporter, with offices in Nashville, Tennessee, hereby certify that I reported the Judge's Ruling in the matter of WALLACE vs. METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY, et al., by machine shorthand to the best of my skills and abilities, and thereafter the same was reduced to typewritten form by me.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome of the proceedings.

I further certify that in order for this document to be considered a true and correct copy, it must bear my original signature and that any unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part and/or transfer of this document is not authorized, will not be considered authentic, and will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-104, Theft of Services.

__

1

SARAH N. LINDER, LCR
Elite Reporting Services
Licensed Court Reporter (TN)
Senior Managing Associate and
Notary Public State of Tennessee

My Notary Commission Expires: 3/3/2020 LCR #153 - Expires: 6/30/2018

TENNESSEE

NOTARY

OSON CO

	1991 6:1	565 13:13	adoption 18:2,3,
	1995 6:1		affidavits 5:4
(1) 18:3	1999 6:1	6	affirmative 18:6
(2) 18:10		6 26:9	affirmed 6:18 9:6
(7) 18:20	2	638 14:15	19:10
(a)(7) 15:16	2-1-104 15:14	655 19:8	aforementioned 6:4
1	2-1-104(6) 18:19 19:6	6th 10:4 11:11	agree 20:8
4 7 17 11 10	2-1-401(7) 8:18	7	ahead 28:22
1 7:15 11:16	2-14-102 7:3		allegations 7:7 12:8 13:5,6
10 18:11 19:16 23:16	2-14-105 17:8	72 14:15	allowing 15:22
104-5537 13:1	2002 14:16	8	alternative 8:2
12 6:9 7:2 9:3 12:5	2003 6:2		21:13
17:3 22:2 26:9	2007 6:2	82-223 9:13 18:15	amend 20:22
12.02(6) 12:18,21	2010 13:9	850 13:10	amended 18:1
15 5:12	2011 6:2	9	amending 17:24
15.01 5:12,16 16:2	2015 6:2		amendment 18:
20:9,24 23:12 24:18 25:2,3,5	2016 8:13,15	9 11:12	19:15 20:4 23:15 24:2
15.03 5:12 6:10	12:25 13:2 21:24	9(a) 27:12	amendments
7:5 8:25 15:8	2018 4:9,14 5:5,	952 19:8	18:3
16:23 21:25 25:2, 15,18	11,13 6:12 7:11, 13,15,18,21 10:4,		Annotated 17:7
15.04 15:10	10,16 11:3,6,11,	A	appeal 27:11,18
154 13:13	12,14,15,17,20,22 26:9,12 27:2	accord 16:15	28:7,23
19 15:21	2019 5:23 6:2,3,8,	accordance	Appeals 3:12 13:1
19.01 17:24 19:14	23	17:22	appears 12:11
20:11 21:3 23:14	2nd 7:11,18 10:15	accurate 3:22	25:25 26:24
24:8	11:14 26:12 27:2	act 14:24	appellate 27:12
1901 19:14	3	action 4:18,21	29:4
1966 16:6		13:7 actions 4:16	applicable 12:4 13:4 15:12 16:1
1971 5:25 16:7	328 13:9	additional 3:3	application 12:1
1975 6:1	4		
1979 6:1 19:22 21:7 23:23		address 4:17	applied 12:1 23:
1982 9:8 19:22	4 8:13,15 21:23	addressed 8:1	applies 12:1
20:1 21:6 23:23	4:38 29:10	adequacy 12:15	applying 10:5
24:15	4:45 28:16	adjourned 29:7	14:19 25:12 26:1
1983 6:1 9:5 19:9		admits 13:4	approval 18:5,10
20:17	5	adopted 18:9 23:8	April 16:6 21:24
1987 6:1	5.05 15:9	20.0	argue 6:25 19:1

argued 24:12 16,23,24 26:1,2 23:3,12,14 24:3,6 Congress 7:23 25:2 26:8 11:24 arising 18:24 **broader** 23:6,7 25:13 choice 6:11 consideration article 5:12 15:13, 20:11 24:9 citizens 3:9 **broadly** 26:3,4 considered ascertain 13:21 **Brown** 13:7 city 12:24 18:17, 14:25 24 19:4 assembly 16:17 consistent 23:5 C **Civil** 12:6 assert 5:14,23 consists 11:20 **claim** 5:20 10:19 6:17 13:19 calendar 5:6 12:12.18.20 asserts 13:6 11:16 construction **claims** 12:23 **assume** 27:17 13:19 14:21 15:1 called 27:25 clear 14:24 construe 12:7 at-large 8:8 22:6 campaign 11:9 clerk 18:11 29:8 14:6 Attorney 9:12 candidate 11:2 construed 19:5 **code** 17:7 18:23 18:15 16:10,12 17:18 23:2 August 4:9 5:10, collectively 4:23 candidates construing 14:19 23,25 6:3,8,12,23 16:11,14,22 combined 4:18 7:10,13,18,21 contained 13:5 caption 14:25 8:13.15 9:8 10:15 Commission 4:2. 23:24 15:2 16:19 11:2,14,20,22 5,8,12,21,23 5:4,9 contend 5:11 16:6 19:20,22 7:9,10,15,17,20, captions 14:20 21:6,9,23 23:23 24 8:11,14,17,21, contentions 7:8 **case** 3:14,18 4:2,3 24:15 26:12 27:2 24 9:5,12,16 10:9, 5:7 8:2,10,12,15 10 11:12 21:16 context 18:25 avoid 14:7,10 12:1,2,25 13:1,9, 26:22 20:18 16 14:16 16:2 Commission's contrary 6:15 17:23 19:9,10 В 5:2 6:11 10:12.22 19:2 21:17,18,20 22:14 21:22 26:24 23:18 **control** 18:23 back 22:13 26:1 commissioners cases 23:2 25:11 Corporation **ballot** 5:19 6:5,6, 17:6 20:5 24:3 14:13 cast 17:19 20:6 13 7:5,13,15,18, common 19:2 24:4.19 20.21 8:5 9:9 council 5:18 6:16, 11:5,17,20,22,23 companion 4:2 18,21 8:7,12 9:2 certiorari 4:6,20 15:24 16:14 22:4, 17:2,16,25 18:4,7, 10:18 **compel** 20:19 24 24:25 8 21:23 22:6 challenging compelling 4:12 25:20 **Barry** 11:10 12:22 council-membercompensated **based** 8:3,24 Chancellor 19:11 15:5 **at-large** 17:18 20:3,15 21:8 24:1 **begins** 13:24 complaint 4:19 council**bench** 3:9,10,25 chancery 9:6 12:7,15 13:5 members-at-20:15 benefit 12:9 large 5:17 complaints 9:22 Chapter 24:18 22:16 bit 28:25 councilman **charter** 5:12,15 16:12 compliance 3:23 **bodies** 14:12 7:6 8:25 9:11,25 councilmemberconclude 21:2 **body** 14:12,23 10:2 13:14,18 **at-large** 17:10 15:3,8,9,10 16:1 concluded 29:10 **bound** 22:8 17:24 18:1,24 councilmen 16:5 conclusion 20:19 bring 21:20 19:4,12,14 20:5,8, councilmen-at-19,20,22,24 21:4, conclusions broad 9:18 24:10, large 16:4,11 12,25 22:19,22 12:14

county 4:1,4,7 defendant 12:21 15,16,21,22,24 existing 14:5,7 13:3.6 5:5,8 11:16 13:13 6:3,8,10,12,25 exists 17:8 14:15 17:5 18:13 7:1,2,11,19 8:4,5, defined 5:15 8:18 19:17 21:15 23:17 13,14,15,17,18, expanding 13:22 18:19 19:6 21,22,25 9:3,8,10, expedited 3:14, **court** 3:4,7,9,12, defines 22:20 14,17,24 10:1,3,5, 13 4:17,22 7:25 15 4:25 29:4 9,15 11:2,6,13,16, 9:6,20 10:12,17, defining 26:3 21,23 15:7,11,15, expense 11:8 20,23 12:1,2,10, definition 19:11 16,17,21,24 16:8, 14 13:1,9,15,16 expire 6:23 22:22 23:7 24:24 25 17:4,5,11,13, 14:6,16 19:9,10, 14,17,20,21,23 25:6,9,13,19,24 explained 6:22 13 20:13,15 21:1, 26:12 18:13,16,19,20, 16,18,21 22:8,9, explains 7:20 23.25 19:1.4.12. 10,11,19 23:10,19 definitions 15:16 8:24 18,20,21,25 20:7, 24:13,18,21,23 18:23 8,10,23 21:3,4,6, expressly 14:9 25:1,5,10,14,17, demands 11:9 7,10,11,15,22,24, 23 26:11 27:13, **Exxon** 14:13 25 22:1,3,4,18,20, 16,24 28:3,4,11, denied 11:5 23 23:4,7,11,12, 14,17,20 29:2,8 18,20,22,24 24:5, determine 12:19 F 6,7,15,16,17,19, **court's** 3:4,20 determined 10:11 12:14 14:16 20,23 25:8,10,16, facially 19:23 10:24 24:22 27:2 17,20,25 26:3,7,8, 23:24 11,12,13,14,15,16 dictate 3:9 courts 12:7 14:1, facts 9:22.23 27:1 2,5,10 23:2,8 discussed 22:12 10:17.20.23 12:12 elections 6:16 22:16,17 **dismiss** 5:2 7:9 7:16,22 8:6 9:7,15 D 10:13,22 12:5,7, 11:18,24 15:12 factual 12:8 11,19 13:3 16:19,20,24 17:6 fail 13:6 date 6:9 10:10 18:19 19:5,23 dismissal 8:3 17:4 **failure** 12:18 20:12,25 21:1 dismissed 21:18 22:5 24:9,11 25:9, Davidson 4:1.4.7 falls 26:12 26:23 13 5:5.8 11:16 13:12 **favor** 21:22 14:14 21:15 displacing 14:7 eliqible 18:17 favoring 18:4 day 4:14 district 5:18 9:2 end 8:9 16:4,12 17:2,16 **Fayetteville** de 12:16 enforce 14:1 12:25 draft 27:22 decide 9:20 22:15 engaged 22:11 federal 6:14 drafters 20:20 decided 8:12 entered 20:14,17 fellow 22:9 due 28:16 13:1,9 14:16 19:9 **entire** 20:18 21:16 23:19 file 20:21 28:15, **entitle** 12:13 23.25 decision 3:22.23 Ε 4:7,22 9:6,13 entitled 10:7,8 filed 20:16 28:24 10:9,12 14:16 early 28:25 16:9 18:8 26:19, 29:1 19:10,12 20:14 21 **effect** 13:21 files 12:21 13:3 21:8,23 22:9 erroneously 5:9 26:22,24 27:7 effectuated **fill** 4:13 5:10,13 10:10 establish 13:7 6:12 8:12 9:1 declaratory 11:13 16:25 10:20 27:3 elected 8:8 22:7 event 15:4 17:12 17:13,14,21 21:23 23:13 declares 14:9 evidence 12:24 filled 4:9 8:22 electing 16:3 deeds 11:20 excerpted 3:5 10:14 15:6 17:12 **election** 4:1,4,7, 26:17,25 **deemed** 10:23 **exist** 17:3 10,13 5:5,8,9,13,

informal 3:10 **final** 27:14 28:8 great 27:5 Κ **find** 25:4,11,23 **ground** 21:19 injunctive 5:1,3 10:7 26:20 finds 10:20 22:19 kicks 29:1 Н insists 9:17 24:13 26:11 Kilcrease 20:15 insufficient 20:2 foregoing 29:9 21:9 Hamilton 7:25 forward 27:5 intended 13:23 8:12,15 21:14,18 knowing 3:21 24:22 found 10:6 20:16 intent 14:23 20:5 Koch 13:15 21:9 26:2,18 24:3 Hargrove 13:11, 16 four-year 9:18 interlocutory L 27:11 28:7 Harriman 19:4 **FOX** 27:15,17,20 28:2,22 **interpret** 13:17,20 language 7:4 headings 14:22 14:23 25:1,18,23 interpretation heard 3:3 law 3:11,23,24 G 14:17 hearing 4:25 5:2 4:16,17 9:14,21 interpreted 23:11 10:6 11:25 12:3 general 5:14,20, hearings 3:14 24:18 14:5,18 15:1,2 22,24 6:8,24 7:1, held 5:21 7:3 9:1,7 interpreting 22:13,19 26:18 11,19 8:4,5,15,16, 15:21 16:5,21,24 13:14 17,21 9:2,7,10,12, lawfully 16:16 17:13,20 19:20,25 14,17,24 10:1,2, involved 20:4 20:3 21:10 24:1, laws 15:11 14 11:21 15:7,11, 24:2 23 17,24 16:7,8,17, lawsuits 4:16 issue 3:15 8:1 20 17:4,11,16,23 Hiland 4:3,24 5:1 10:13 9:21,25 19:18 18:13,15,16,20,25 10:7 11:3 26:19 **lawyers** 3:8,17,18 22:15 23:18 26:6 19:3,5,12,18,19, Hiland's 10:19 27:14 21,25 20:9,23,25 **issued** 20:14 21:1,2,4,5,9,10 **hold** 5:9 11:12 **legal** 12:14,22 21:13 22:1,2,4,18,20,22 **holds** 21:5 legislative 14:12, **issues** 5:7 9:20 23:4,7,11,18,20, 10:6,24 22:14 22 22 24:7,10,16,17, **HOLLIN** 27:10,19 23:6 26:19 23 25:7,8,10,13, 28:10,12,15,18 liberally 12:7 17,24 26:3,7,8,13, Holt 12:24 Likewise 14:9 15 27:1 J **Honor** 27:10,15 limit 11:7 generic 24:16 hurry 27:6,17 **iob** 3:18 13:19 limited 8:6 9:18 give 13:21 14:3 27:5 22:4 17:6 John 21:14 I **Loans** 13:8 giving 12:9 Judd 19:8 24:14 **local** 14:12 goal 13:20 implies 14:9 judge 8:2,9 13:15 logic 20:19 **good** 3:18 important 28:4 judgment 27:3 longstanding Government 4:1, importantly 6:15 4 7:25 13:12 judgments 14:11 22:21 24:25 14:14 21:15 looked 23:9 judicial 4:20 include 7:21 9:15 Government's 19:1,5 **June** 20:17 13:18 М includes 5:24 jurisdiction 4:20 grant 12:10 7:16,18 11:17,23 machine 16:15 **justify** 22:15 granted 12:21 including 6:5 made 8:2 27:8 grants 10:12 inferences 12:10

majority 17:19 make 28:8 mandamus 4:12. 19 7:14 10:19 mandates 6:10 manner 18:2 March 10:4 11:11, 12 26:9 mark 28:7 material 13:4 **matter** 4:19 matters 3:3 27:9 **mayor** 4:8 5:10,17 6:5.12.16.20.23 7:12 8:6,7 9:1 10:5,14 11:10,11, 13 15:4,5 16:3,10 17:1,9,15,18 18:10 22:5 26:10, mayoral 4:13 6:9 11:1 **meaning** 14:4,8 24:10

means 9:14 15:16,21 22:23

meant 19:23 23:24

Megan 11:10

member 17:2,16

members 5:18 9:2 15:22 16:17

membership

8:19,23 15:17 18:8,21

memorandum 3:11 20:14,16

24:14 **merits** 5:1 21:17.

21

metro 3:23 6:15, 18 7:17,19 8:4 9:11,25 10:2 11:18,21 19:22 21:3,9,12,14,24 22:3,18,22,23,25 23:12 24:22,24 26:7

metropolitan 4:1, 3 5:11,15,21,22, 24 6:8,24 7:1,6, 11,25 8:4,16,21, 22 9:3,7,9,10,17, 24 10:1,3,15 13:12,14,17 14:14 15:3,12 16:8,19, 20,25 17:4,23 18:11 19:13,19 20:1,4,7,9,18,20, 22,23,24,25 21:11 22:1,2,18,23 23:3, 4,7,11,13,14,20, 23 24:2,5,7,10,17, 19,23 25:7,8,10, 13,16,17,19,25 26:3,7,8,13,16 27:1

mind 20:23 mindful 14:5 minimum 20:21 month 6:24

months 6:9 7:2 9:4 10:3 11:7 17:3 22:2 26:9

morning 28:16,19 motion 5:2 10:12, 22 12:5,6,10,19, 22 13:3

moves 7:9

moving 10:17 15:25 27:7

municipal 19:1 20:12 21:4,5 24:9

Ν

names 16:13

narrow 24:11 25:9,19,24

narrowing 25:23

Nashville 11:1 13:12 14:14 Nashvilledavidson 18:12 19:17 23:17

national 20:8 24:6

natural 14:4

nature 6:20

necessarily 14:9

needed 20:21

nominee 15:23

nominees 15:23

non-reported 12:25

nonpartisan 6:17,19

notice 3:17 17:6 28:23

November 19:21 20:1 23:22

novo 12:16

number 7:17 9:13,21,25 11:18 18:12,15 19:16,24 20:2,6,7,21 22:15 23:16,25 24:4,5

0

occur 5:22 25:22

occurred 19:21 23:22

occurs 10:15 27:2

office 4:8 5:10 6:23 7:12 8:5,22 9:1 10:4,14 11:4, 8,11,13 15:4 17:1, 2,9,10,15,17,20 19:3 22:3,25 24:24,25 26:10,25

offices 5:16 6:4, 20 7:17,19 9:9 11:18,21,24 20:1 22:24 23:13 26:16

omit 12:3

opinion 3:12

18:14 19:2

order 8:20 10:8 11:8 15:18 18:21 26:22 27:14,21,22 28:8

order's 28:24 29:1

ordered 17:5

ordinance 13:25 14:2,3,6,8,11,18

ordinance's 13:21,24

ordinances 13:18

ordinary 14:4 oriented 3:22 original 4:20

Ρ

p.m. 29:10part 15:2,14participate 8

participate 8:20 15:18 18:18,22 22:21

parties 3:8 9:23 11:15 22:17 27:4

partisan 6:14

party 8:19,23 15:18,22,23 18:21 26:15

people 18:5 28:24

percent 18:11 19:16 23:16

permissible 14:20

permission 27:11

person 28:4

persons 16:16 26:14

persuaded 21:2 22:10 24:21

pertinent 10:23 primaries 7:20 quickly 3:16 20 12:13,20 26:20 12:2 16:1 9:16 11:22 quote 8:9 **relies** 9:5,12 petition 7:7,14 primary 6:14 rely 9:19 17:25 18:10 19:15 7:16,22 11:18,23, R 20:21 23:15 24 15:21 18:18 relying 4:21 principles 11:25 petitioners 4:6, **remain** 17:10 reach 21:17,21 11,25 5:8,11,14, 14:7 22:13 **reported** 13:9.13 reaching 3:22 20,23 6:4,7,17,22, **prior** 17:3 14:15 19:8 25 7:4 9:19 10:8. read 3:11 12:2 25 11:4,6 26:5,21 problem 28:9 reporter 28:4 14:24 20:18 22:13 petitioners' 6:11 Procedure 12:6 representatives reading 20:16 26:23 27:12 7:22 8:7,8 22:6,7 25:5 petitions 7:10 proceedings request 27:3 reason 6:11 20:19 10:18,21 19:23 29:9 requested 4:25 reasonable 12:9 23:24 26:23 process 11:3 require 5:12 reasoning 22:10 **phrase** 18:15 19:3 29:4 23:11 required 8:20,23 reasons 8:11 proposed 18:3 15:19 18:22 **place** 6:13 11:2 19:15 23:15 **receive** 17:19 requires 13:16 **plain** 14:8 **prove** 12:12 recent 21:5 19:14 21:25 23:14 **plaintiff** 12:9,11, **provided** 15:7,13 record 4:21 residents 10:25 17:7 recounts 10:23 **resides** 16:13 plaintiff's 12:23 provision 15:9 reduce 11:8 13:5 20:22 25:4 resigned 11:10 refer 20:6 24:4 plaintiffs 21:19 provisions 13:17 resolution 6:19 25:4,16 24:12 26:4.20 15:15.25 17:22 17:25 18:4,6,9 25:2.5 27:3 reference 19:19, pleadings 12:20 25 20:11 23:19 **purpose** 13:22 resolve 10:6 point 7:4 24:8 15:22 16:2 26:18 **policy** 6:15,18 referenda 9:16 purposes 9:14 resolved 3:16 14:11 18:18 10:22 13:24 14:23 respectfully 28:6 political 8:19 21:3 referendum 7:5, 15:17,22 18:21 respondents 16 11:17 19:15 pursuant 27:11 4:23 23:15 25:21 popular 17:25 responds 7:18 referring 25:24 Q portions 12:2 response 5:3 **refers** 4:22 18:16 preceding 18:13 7:14 qualifications 20:10.25 24:7 19:18,19 20:23 16:22 25:15 21:2,4 23:17,20 restricting 13:22 qualified 11:1,4 regard 24:13 premature 28:24 restrictive 25:6 16:14,17,18 register 11:19 presented 12:24 reverse 4:7 question 4:15,16, 23:16 pressing 27:5 17 12:16 14:18 reversed 8:3 registered 16:16 18:24 **pressure** 3:20,21 review 4:6,21 18:12,17 19:16 questioning 10:19 presuming 12:8 regularly 19:20 14:10 reviews 12:14 previous 19:20 rel 21:14 questions 9:21 23:21 rise 29:8 relief 5:1,3 10:7,

RS2011-1607
6:19

rule 3:24 12:5,17,
18,21 21:22 27:12

rules 12:6 13:14,
19 14:7,21 27:12
29:4

ruling 3:4,10,25
4:2 8:2 9:7 21:13
24:22

run-off 17:20

S

S.w.2nd 19:8

S.w.2nd 19:8

S.w.3d 13:9,13
14:15

schedule 9:19

scheduled 7:1
9:3 10:3 22:1 26:9

scope 13:23

search 13:23

seat 8:13 21:23

seated 3:7 section 5:12,16 6:10 7:3,5 8:18,25 15:8,9,10,20 16:2, 20,23 17:8,24 19:14 20:9,11,24 21:3 23:14 25:2, 15

15
sections 12:3
seek 4:6,11 11:7
seeks 5:1 12:19
select 15:23
semicolon 16:21

sense 19:2 separate 4:3

serve 5:3 15:5 **set** 4:12 12:12

set 4:12 12:12 17:22

setting 5:5 6:19 10:9 20:20

sheriff 11:19 **shows** 7:15 11:16

signatures 19:24 23:25

signed 18:11 19:16 23:16

solved 3:15,16

special 4:12 5:13 6:10 7:2 8:14,25 10:5 11:6 15:6 16:23,24 17:5,13, 14,21 21:24,25 25:16 26:11

specific 4:13 25:20

specifically 23:9 25:4

speed 28:13

standing 8:3 21:19,20

stands 26:24

state 3:23 5:8 6:13 7:22 8:2 9:14 11:24 12:18,20 13:20 15:1,11,15 16:18 19:7,21,25 20:8 21:14 22:19 23:22 24:6

stated 10:18,21 21:20

states 7:24 9:13 15:3,10 19:13 25:15

statute 14:17 15:1,20

statutes 13:20 14:20,22

statutory 14:21

stay 28:5

stipulate 9:24 11:15 22:17

subject 20:2,4 23:9 24:2

submitted 18:9 submitting 18:5 Subsection 15:16

subsequent 18:1 substituting

14:11

sufficiency 12:23

sufficient 19:24 23:25

summary 7:4 9:16 10:11

support 7:6 12:12

Supreme 3:13 9:6 12:13 13:8,15 14:15 19:9,10,13 20:13 23:10,19 24:18

Т

Taking 9:22 22:15

TCA 7:3 8:18 15:14 18:19 19:6

Tennessee 13:1, 8 14:15 15:15 16:18 17:7 18:14 19:9 23:10

term 5:14,24 6:22 9:10,13,18 10:1 17:1,15 18:25 21:11 23:4 24:10, 11 25:6 26:1,6

terminology 23:6 25:18 26:2

terms 25:7,12

thereof 17:7

thing 23:21 28:18

thirty 5:18 8:7 16:4 22:5

Thursday 5:25 16:5,6

time 3:19,21 17:11

Title 13:8

today 10:24

tomorrow 28:1

tools 13:18

touted 24:11

transcript 27:22

transit 7:16 11:17

trial 7:24 8:9 12:10,14 14:16 21:16,18 22:9,11 24:21

true 9:23 10:21 12:8 22:16

trustee 11:19

truth 13:4

two-thirds 18:7

U

unambiguous 13:25 14:24

underlying 24:14 understand 23:3

unduly 13:22

unexpired 17:1,

unfortunate 23:1

V

vacancy 4:8,13 5:10,13 6:9,12,13 7:12 9:1 10:4,13 11:13 15:6 16:25 17:3,8,12,14,15, 17.21 26:10,25

vacant 8:12 15:4 17:11 21:23

vacating 10:8 26:22

verified 10:18

versus 3:25 4:3 7:25 12:24 13:8, 11 14:13 19:7,8 21:14 24:14,22

vice 5:17 6:16,20 8:7 15:5 16:3,10 17:9,17 22:5

vote 9:15 16:9,17, 18,21 18:1,6 22:24 26:14 **voted** 4:9 5:9 11:12 voter 8:20 16:9, 13,15 voters 18:12,17 19:17 20:21 22:21 23:16 votes 17:19 20:6 24:4,19 **voting** 16:15 18:13 19:17 23:17 W Wallace 3:25 4:24 11:1 weeks 17:20 Westlaw 12:25 widely 14:21 widely-held 14:21 **wisdom** 14:10 Wise 19:8 24:14 words 13:24 14:3 work 27:8 writ 4:11 written 3:12 13:15 14:1 22:9 Υ year 6:24 8:16 24:15 25:20 **years** 5:21,25 6:3 9:8 16:7 21:10

Exhibit B

M2018-00481-COA R3 CV

NOTICE OF APPEAL

FILED

MAR 1 5 2018

Clerk of the Appellate Courts
Rec'd By KTM 11:36A/N

Style Ludye N. Wallace

Notice is given that Lud	ve N. Wallace	
[List	name(s) of all appealing t if necessary]	party(ies) on separate
peals the final judgment(s) of the	Chancery [List the circuit, crimin chancery or juvenile contact the contact that the conta	- ·
ounty filed on 3/14/2018	to the Court of App	eals
[List the date(s) the final judgment(s) was filed in the trial court clerk's office]	e [Name the Co	urt of Appeals (civil), Court of eals (criminal), or Supreme Court
lditional Information		
Type of Case [Check the r	most appropriate item]	
Civil Criminal Post Convid Workers's C Death Penal Parental Ter	Compensation	Habeas Corpus Juvenile Dependent and Neglect Other (Specifiy:
Trial Court Number	18-0254-I	
Trial Court Judge	Chancellor Bonnyman	
Civil Appeal Cost Bond [Check the most appropris	ate item]
Indigent with	I court with copy attached th copy of indigency orde filed in trial court with co	er or affidavit attached

Criminal Appeal Appearance Bond [Check the most appropriate item]				
Appea	appointing counsel with coprance bond with copy attacherated pending appeal	*		
TDOC Number [Ap	pellant is an inmate			
List of Parties				
Appellant: Ludye N	. Wallace At	trial: Plaintiff OD	efendant	
Party's Address: 2718	Scovel Street, Nashville, TN, 37208			
Party's Telephone: 61				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jamie R. Hollin; Daniel A. Horwitz		R#: <u>025460</u>	
Attorney's Address:	511 Rosebank Ave., Nashville, TN	37206 Pho	ne: 615-870-4650	
* Attach an additional sheet for each additional Appellant * Appellee(s) Appellee: Metro Govt. of Nashville & Davidson County Election Co. At trial: Plaintiff Defendant Appellee's Address: 108 Metro Courthouse, Nashville, TN 37219				
• •	Lora Fox		D.4. 17943	
Attorney's Name:			R#: 17243	
Attorney's Address:	108 Metro Courthouse, Nashville,	Pho	ne: 615-862-6341	
* Attach an additional sheet for each additional Appellee * <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>				
I, Jamie R. Hollin	, certify that I ha	ve forwarded a true an	d exact copy of	
this Notice of Appeal by Firs	t Class, United States Mail,	postage prepaid, to all	parties and/or	
their attorneys in this case in accordance with Rule 20 of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate				
Procedure on this the 15 1	day of Mhrzh	[Signature of apper for appellant]	llant or attorney	
		ror appenant		

[Revised: 5-22-09]